STATE OF CALIFORNIA CALIFORNIA STATE AUDITOR'S OFFICE (CSA) 2020 CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION (CRC)

In the matter of 2020 CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION (CRC) Applicant Review Panel (ARP)Public Meeting

Telephonic

621 Capitol Mall, 10th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814

MONDAY, MARCH 30, 2020 8:59 A.M.

Reported by: Peter Petty

APPEARANCES

Members Present

Ryan Coe, Chair

Angela Dickison, Vice Chair

Ben Belnap, Panel Member

Staff Present

Christopher Dawson, Panel Counsel

Shauna Pellman, Auditor Specialist II

Interviewees

Robert Reader

Derric Taylor

Judith Francis

Anasuya Polacek

	3
INDEX	
	PAGE
Robert Reader	4
Derric Taylor	45
Judith Francis	87
Anasuya Polacek	133
Recess	173
Certificate of Reporter	174
Certificate of Transcriber	175

4

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 8:59 a.m. 3 CHAIR COE: The time being 8:59, I'd like to bring 4 this meeting out of recess. 5 Just quick standard announcements as we start 6 today's meeting. Please silence all cell phones and 7 devices in the room, and take phone calls in the hallway 8 outside if necessary. Restroom is out the door to the 9 left, and in the event of an emergency, please follow the 10 instructions of the State Auditor's staff. 11 I want to make sure that the other folks are all on 12 the line and can hear us that are remote today. 13 Ms. Dickison, are you hearing us okay? 14 VICE CHAIR DICKISON: I am hearing you fine. 15 CHAIR COE: Are ASL interpreters hearing us okay? 16 They're good? All right. That's the universal symbol 17 there. 18 Court Reporter, can you hear us okay? We're good. 19 Okay. Fantastic. 20 Madame Secretary, can you hear us as well? 21 MS. PELLMAN: Yes, I can hear you. It does look 22 like Mr. Reader's audio is on mute right now.

CHAIR COE: Okay.

23

24

MS. PELLMAN: There you go.

25 CHAIR COE: Fantastic.

And Mr. Reader, you can hear us as well?

MR. READER: I can.

CHAIR COE: Great. Okay. So I'd like to welcome Mr. Reader, Mr. Robert Reader, for his interview today, and seeing as everybody is present, on the line, can hear us, I'd like to turn the time over to Mr. Dawson for the standard five questions, please.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Mr. Reader, I am going to ask you five standard questions that the Panel has asked that each Applicant respond to. Are you ready, sir?

MR. READER: I believe I am.

MR. DAWSON: First question: What skills and attributes should all Commissioners possess? What skills or competencies should the Commission possess collectively?

Of the skills, attributes, and competencies that each Commissioner should possess, which do you possess? In summary, how will you contribute to the success of the Commission?

MR. READER: Want me to give you the answers?

MR. DAWSON: Yes, please.

MR. READER: The skills and attributes that I possess. I believe that a Commissioner should be fair, impartial, lawful, and honest, the ability to communicate and express a viewpoint while listening to and

understanding the different perspectives and points of view, be prepared to work hard, have analytic ability and the ability to interpret data, and have the ability to build positive relationships, and the most important out of all those beautiful things that we just talked about, the Commission must have courage to make decisions.

MR. DAWSON: Question two: Work on the Commission requires members of different political backgrounds to work together. Since the 2010 Commission was selected and formed, the American political conversation has become increasingly polarized, whether in the press, on social media, and even in our own families.

What characteristics do you possess, and what characteristics should your fellow Commissioners possess, that will protect against hyperpartisanship? What will you do to ensure that the work of the Commission is not seen as polarized or hyperpartisan, and avoid perceptions of political bias and conflict?

MR. READER: Could you repeat that question for me again, please?

MR. DAWSON: Yes. Would you like me to start from the beginning?

MR. READER: Please.

MR. DAWSON: Work on the Commission requires
members of different political backgrounds to work

together. Since the 2010 Commission was selected and formed, the American political conversation has become increasingly polarized, whether in the press, on social media, and even in our own families.

What characteristics do you possess, and what characteristics should your fellow Commissioners possess, that will protect against hyperpartisanship? What will you do to ensure that the work of the Commission is not seen as polarized or hyperpartisan, and avoid perceptions of political bias and conflict?

MR. READER: I believe the Commissioner must be a keen listener. He has to have the ability to weigh concerns and discover new perspectives to arrive at some type of consensus. He must be genuinely open, have an honest dialogue to achieve a consensus, show appreciation for tact, diplomacy, and eagerness, and understand one another. That's paramount. Acknowledge -- we will continue?

MR. DAWSON: Please.

MR. READER: Okay. Acknowledge there will be a different perspective on a subject where not everyone will agree on, and they will act -- that will act in the same way, with enthusiasm and positivity. I would like to use the example or analogy by Chris Mascato (phonetic), the elephant in the room.

Imagine an elephant would walk into the room. The individual will look at it as the most beautiful in the world, or they would imagine that it's a threat, or it's a monster, but ultimately it would make a difference how one perceives it. That would influence the way a person understood it, and if we admit that we have a bias or a blind spot, that could be an issue, and by acknowledging it, we can work through it as a Commission and get something done.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you. Question three: What is the greatest problem the Commission could encounter, and what actions would you take to avoid or respond to this problem?

MR. READER: Repeat the question again, please.

MR. DAWSON: What is the greatest problem the Commission could encounter, and what actions would you take to avoid or respond to this problem?

MR. READER: The one that -- the greatest problem that I see the Commission could have -- we have three types. One, we have internal, which is basically our biggest problem, which would be the Commissioners, and you have a lot of internal fighting and disagreement, and if that continues for 10 years, it could create a problem where you never get anything done. You have to look at it as a marriage for 10 years, and you have to -- and, again,

remember, we are the governing body of the state somewhat, so that could be an issue. So we have to be able to work together.

The other I see is the external. We're talking state politicians. They have a problem sometimes, and we have to kind of work and satisfy them, as well as the people of California. We also have the public opposition, which creates, perhaps, some dissent.

All in all, as an ex-counselor, I would use conflict mediation in order to deescalate the problem. I would try to notice or at least watch their body language, and listen to their voice very carefully, and I would try to establish or try to pinpoint conflict, if I could.

Again, going back, this whole thing, we talk about three things, internal, external, and public. Our biggest problem is going to be with our Commissioners within, and, again, we're looking at a 10-year marriage. That could be wonderful, or it could be bad.

MR. DAWSON: Question four: If you are selected, you will be one of 14 members of the Commission, which is charged with working together to create maps of the new districts. Please describe a situation where you had to work collaboratively with others on a project to achieve a common goal. Tell us the goal of the project, what your role in the group was, and how the group worked through any

conflicts that arose. What lessons would you take from this group experience to the Commission, if selected?

MR. READER: The experience that I had dates back when I was at Bakersfield College, when I was teaching, in 1977. I was the director of the Martin Luther King, Junior, Center for Social Change. The president of the college came to me and requested I develop a multicultural program, and so I sat down, and I wrote some goals and objectives of what I planned to achieve.

I came up with a plan, and then, at that point, I went and got on the advisory board, and on the advisory board, I sat down and I run my idea that I had written to them, and we all went over it. We diced and sliced it, and make it workable, things that I wanted and things that they wanted, so we kind of found a happy medium.

Some were reluctant to participate in the overall vision of what I was trying to accomplish, because they felt that they had -- we already had a Chicano culture center there, and it encompasses a lot of the things that was -- at that time would be more race relations, would be more a lot of things within the community.

So we had to really try to work things through, and my goal with that particular situation, I had to work with the people that opposed the idea. I had to kind of bring them along to my side. So what I would do, I went out and

did my research. I went and did my research, and I went to various colleges, and found where they had other programs, which is what I was trying to establish, and I brought back facts and information, and showed how well that particular situation worked for their college, and explained to them, and brought them on board, and when they saw that, they were in agreement to some degree.

I pointed out the benefit of having a multicultural center. It wasn't just a center, like the Chicano Center, which is ethnocentric, where it was only being (indiscernible) Hispanics. My center was for all races, not just one, and so I convinced them to -- what it was all about, and I pointed the benefits of having the multicultural program available.

We talked about community involvement. We talked about student involvement. We talked about the celebration, what we were going to do, the community events and how we were going to interact with the community. We established connection with the Black Student Union that was on campus, but I'm not necessary (sic) if that was on campus of BC, but we also reached out to other colleges, and we had meetings throughout the state with different black multicultural centers like mine. We established connections with organizations. We sponsored sports camps. We set up academic and tutoring programs for the kids at

risk.

So those are the kind of things that we did, and then I think there was another question. You said what? We became an all-in-one, inclusive body organization, not just one. I think you had another question with that, didn't you?

MR. DAWSON: What lessons would you take from this group experience?

MR. READER: Okay. Yes. The lesson that I take from that whole process there is that I had to be attentive when I was putting this together. I had to listen to other people's views and ideas. Being attentive is key, being sympathetic and sensitive around objections.

So, in other words, if someone doesn't necessarily like your idea, or what you're saying, what they're saying, you're not supposed to just jump on them and beat them up and take them out. You have to kind of work around them to buy into what you're trying to achieve. And so, to me, that's very important. We don't want to become ethnocentric. We want to represent all the people. We want to find a common ground, and trust and rapport with others.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you. Question five: A considerable amount of the Commission's work will involve meeting with people from all over California who come from

very different backgrounds and a wide variety of perspectives.

If you are selected as a Commissioner, what skills and attributes will make you effective at interacting with people from different backgrounds and who have a variety of perspectives?

What experiences have you had that will help you be effective at understanding and appreciating people and communities of different backgrounds and who have a variety of perspectives?

MR. READER: Okay. I believe the person will have to have good or excellent communication skills. He has to be a good listener, and when I say a "good listener," you have to be a good listener, and I always -- when I do things and when I'm involved in certain things, I try to listen to make sure I hear things correctly, and I have a little addage I always use, is that "Always put your brain in gear before you open your mouth, because you may have to cross that bridge again down the road." So you're very careful, and you have to be a good listener, and it's not a "My way or the highway" kind of attitude. You have to kind of walk through it so everybody is happy.

My work in educating makes me a great facilitator.

I'm an advocate for justice and equality. I resolve

conflicts, at least, I try to. I try and resolve conflict

and disagreement whenever it comes about. I deal with -- I try to stay -- I try to be very impartial about things that's around me. I don't try to put my ideas and force my opinion on different people. I try to work so we'll all be happy with the situation.

Could you read the other question that you had?

MR. DAWSON: The second part of the question was,
what experiences have you had that will make you effective
at understanding and appreciating people and communities of
different backgrounds and who have a variety of
perspectives?

MR. READER: Okay. I look at my experience. I've dealt with the Cultural Ethnic Diversity, where we had all races and we had to deal with everyone. I came from a family of -- a very diverse family. I'm biracial. I went through education. I went through elementary, junior high school, which was predominantly a diverse population of all races. I went to high school, where it was predominantly mixed, of all races. I went to the community college and high school that were diverse, and then I went away to Oregon State, where it was all predominantly all white, and I had to navigate that whole scene, and I got my B.S. degree and my master's degree at Oregon State in education.

In my 41 years that I've been a college educator, in a 75-percent minority student population, from a diverse

economic and cultural background, I find it to be very challenging at some times. Going back when I was a director of Martin Luther King Center, we tried to address all those issues. I developed programs for the -- a program that provided celebrations for the community members, and, also, I'm a member of the Alpha Phi Alpha fraternity, the oldest black Greek organization in the nation.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you.

At this point we'll go to Panel questions. Each Panel member will have 20 minutes to ask his or her questions. We'll start with the chair, Mr. Coe.

CHAIR COE: Thank you, Mr. Dawson.

Good morning, Mr. Reader. Thank you for taking the time to speak with us today.

MR. READER: Thank you.

CHAIR COE: In your application, it demonstrates a rather diverse background in terms of experiences. You have experience in real estate, in owning small businesses, working in higher education, and also serving on a fact-finding committee to determine if the United States should participate in the 1980 Olympic Games in Russia.

MR. READER: Yes.

CHAIR COE: How do you think all of these different experiences could help the work of this Commission, should

you be appointed?

MR. READER: Well, I look at it as, with my diversity, I could bring a lot to the table, as a minority individual. With all my experiences -- and I've been around a while -- I bring my life experiences to serve the city, the county, the state, in a public service-like manner and with honor.

CHAIR COE: Thank you. In your impartiality essay, you speak of your service on a college's hiring committee for new staff. You discuss how the team discussed biases, and how they could creep into the hiring process. Can you tell us a little more about that?

MR. READER: Well, when I served on the various committees for hiring new staff members, we would always -- the committee would sit down, a group of us. We would sit down and we would go over the biases and the hiring practices that had happened in the past, and we would look at it and try to modify those portions so it would be fair, and standardize it so it would be fair and equitable in the process. So you have to have -- you have to talk about biases. You have to talk about the hiring process, what's expected, what kind of individual that we're trying to fulfill, trying to fill that job at that particular time.

CHAIR COE: What kind of biases were being

discussed? Was it inherent biases or internal biases of people on the hiring committee?

MR. READER: No. It was just biases in terms of the race relations, make sure it was fair and equitable, that we addressed all the issues of hiring, make sure we stayed true to form to the state hiring guidelines and those kinds of things, along with the racial (indiscernible) as well.

CHAIR COE: I see. How do you think that that experience could help you with the work of this Commission?

MR. READER: Well, I think it could help -- I can help the Commission because I can bring my experience that I've had over the years to address some of those issues, like biases, because I am biracial, so I can kind of navigate both sides of the fence. I can do things that, with help, maybe, hopefully, balance the Commission, so we won't get a lot of infighting and all that kind of stuff.

CHAIR COE: Thank you. In your essays and in some of your discussion this morning, you've given some examples of having worked with a variety of diverse individuals throughout your experiences. What have you learned about those individuals, those diverse groups of people, their perspectives, their concerns, that would help make you an effective representative for them on this Commission?

MR. READER: Well, I have a feel for their ideas,

their culture, their desires, their wants, because everybody's wants are different, and so, by me knowing -- at least I've been around a variety of different cultures. I have a feel for what makes them drive or what they want, and I think I can relate to that, and bring a consensus, and represent them fairly.

CHAIR COE: A similar question, but more geographically based. I see that you're from Kern County. I'd like you to speak a little bit about your experiences in other regions of the state, and what you may have learned from the people in those regions about their concerns and their perspectives that would make you an effective representative for them on this Commission.

MR. READER: Well, I haven't had a whole lot of experience throughout the state, but I've been to places. I've been around places, because of my photography, and I intermingle with people, and we talk, but I can only speak, really, about Bakersfield, because I have more experience there than I have otherwise.

I go to Oakland quite often, because my kids are up there. I understand the dynamics of what they're going through, particularly in Oakland, where they're having (indiscernible) where my son lives, and Oakland is very -- it's kind of a poor environment, for the most part. So I listen to them. I listen to the blacks. I listen to

the Hispanics. I listen to the other races, and try -- and even when I go to soccer matches with my grandson and granddaughter, I talk with different people.

I kind of -- sometimes we get into politics, and sometimes we try to stay true to form, to watch the soccer game, but, when you talk to them, you get a chance to feel exactly how they're hurting, and things not being done like it should be, and I think, as being a Commissioner, I can bring some of that, because I have a feel for what they're looking for, what they would like to have, and I can at least be a voice for them on the Commission, even though I'm not around that area that often, but I do have a feel for it. I'm (indiscernible), so I have a good feel for Bakersfield, because I'm around it, I'm interacting, and I have a feel for it.

CHAIR COE: Thank you. So one of the most important roles the Commission is going to have to play, or tasks they're going to have to approach, is identifying communities of interest all across the state. Some of those communities are easier to locate than others. Some of them are harder to identify and are less engaged.

How would you go about identifying communities of interest across the state, particularly trying to find those that are more difficult to identify and locate?

MR. READER: Boy, that's a tall order. I can tell

you, we can have 15 committees trying to find where people are. The only thing I can suggest would be, is what we're doing now, currently. We're looking at the census, and we try to get out as many people we can get out, in terms of whether it's volunteer and paid, or anything of that nature, so you get the accurate representation, because, after all, if we can get the census correct, the money flows in. It goes where it's supposed to go.

But we have to have boots on the ground to find out, and we have to know -- we can't be limited in terms of the manpower. You have to go out and recruit, add to your overall situation, so you can expand your whole -- so you text those areas that you're talking about to get to the necessary -- what am I looking for? -- results that you're looking for.

CHAIR COE: Some of these communities that you may find in the work of the Commission may be less comfortable becoming engaged with organizations like the Commission.

They may have concerns about that for one reason or another. What would you do as a Commissioner to make some of these communities feel more comfortable to come forward and share their perspective, to help inform the work of the Commission?

MR. READER: Well, actually, I'd be an active participant, where I would actually go to those areas and

try to basically convince them that we're good, we're an advocate for them, but you have to be exposed, be out there, and convince them, so they won't be afraid to come out greet you. So you have to make yourself available. You have to get exposed, or do whatever it takes to build their confidence. So you have to be out there with them.

CHAIR COE: Thank you. If you were to be appointed to the Commission, which aspects of that role do you think that you would enjoy the most, and, conversely, which aspects of that role do you think you might perhaps struggle with a little bit?

MR. READER: I'm sort of a worker bee, and I like being back to back, in the back room, doing the grunt work. Being out in the front is not so much my forte, but I can do it if I have to. But I think I could be stronger if I was in the back, underground, involved in the community, getting places, doing things, and making sure that I'm saying what needs to be said about the state Commission, the work that we do, those kinds of things.

CHAIR COE: And which aspects of the role do you think you might struggle with a little bit?

MR. READER: Public speaking. I can do it, but I'm not that comfortable with it.

CHAIR COE: Understood. Thank you. I have no further questions.

22

1 Ms. Dickison, I believe the time is now yours. 2 VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Good morning, Mr. Reader. 3 MR. READER: Yes. How are you doing? 4 VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Good. So you're currently 5 self-employed. Is that correct? 6 MR. READER: No, I'm retired. 7 VICE CHAIR DICKISON: You're retired. So you're 8 not self-employed with --9 MR. READER: I still work as a real estate broker, 10 and I still do -- I don't actively go out and try to get 11 jobs. I'm (indiscernible), but I'm still current. 12 license is still current, and I do sell real estate every 13 once in a while. 14 VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Okav. 15 MR. READER: But I am retired, for most part. 16 VICE CHAIR DICKISON: So, in your previous 17 employment or self-employment, I think it stated that you 18 built homes. Were those individual or developments? 19 MR. READER: Say that again, please. 20 VICE CHAIR DICKISON: In your previous 21 self-employment, your application, I think, stated that you 22 built homes? 23 MR. READER: I did, yes.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Was that individual homes or

24

25

was that developments?

23

MR. READER: Yes, single-family residences.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Okay. And then you also

determined market values for -- was that for homes you were

4 building, selling, or buying?

MR. READER: Well, not for me. I couldn't do that at all, because that's different, a conflict of interest with my own jobs, but I did do real estate appraising for banks and mortgage companies, and I'd try to get them to come around, and I'd get them fair market price, fair market value, by looking at the sales and comps that's there, and reporting back to the banks, mortgage loan, lending companies.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: And that was mostly in -- was that mostly in Bakersfield?

MR. READER: Yes.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Okay. And then are you still doing photography?

MR. READER: I am.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Okay. What is the main subject of your photography?

MR. READER: I do landscape photography, I do cityscapes, and then I do interior.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Okay.

MR. READER: You can go to my website and see my

25 work.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: So what areas of the state have you photographed besides Kern County?

MR. READER: All over.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: All over?

MR. READER: I've gone to Carmel. I've gone down south. I've gone to Mammoth. I've gone to the Joshua Trees. I've been all over.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Okay. And in your travels and doing your photography, how much interaction did you have with the various communities or individuals from those communities?

MR. READER: I had a lot of interaction, particularly when you have to go out to eat.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Okav.

MR. READER: You run across a lot of people, and I tend to be very friendly and approachable, and then, a lot of times, with your camera gear on your back when you walk into a restaurant or something. People take notice and they come up to you, "Do you do photography?," those kinds of things. And so it was easy for me to interact, but we never talked about anything other than just the fact that -- they would share sometimes about their family, and we never got anything in terms of how their life is or anything like -- it was very superficial.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Okay.

MR. READER: And I always try to make them -- I try to be open, make them laugh, and I even volunteered (indiscernible), and they say, "It's lovely."

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: So you really didn't get into anything about learning anything about those communities, and what issues or concerns they might have?

MR. READER: No. It was, you get out there and you talk, and, on occasion, someone would mention something, but we never got into anything (indiscernible).

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Okay. What about the communities in Bakersfield or Kern County when you were doing your work in real estate? What did you learn? Did you learn anything about those communities and what their needs were?

MR. READER: Yes, when you're building homes or out and about in the community, and I knew a lot -- I ran into a lot of people, particularly in the Hispanic and the black community, because you have sections, like you do everywhere else. You have sections where you have the haves and the have-nots, and since I was raised in the area where basically you will see the have-nots, you develop friendship, and over the years, I've stayed in touch with those individuals, and some made it out, some didn't, and they're still sharing the same things that I shared when I was growing up.

So it hasn't really changed a whole lot, but, at the same time, my heart goes out to them, because they haven't been able to escape that chain, I guess you might say, but we talk when I run around, and I get into various beginnings (sic). I see people who have it out of the ghetto, as you say, like me, and I see them, and we talk about what I've done back there, and what they've done, and we have a very good dialogue.

As a group, by me being in the Alpha Phi Alpha fraternity, we do a lot of things with the black community. We do things for the Hispanic community. So I'm actively involved in the community with that particular group, and we do things. For example, at the fraternity, we raise money, and we put that statute back in Washington, D.C., with Doctor Martin Luther King. So we do a lot of things. I try to stay active in that regard, to support the community where I came from.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you. So, thinking about, you know, the issues that you've recognized in the communities and in Bakersfield, do you think that there's -- what do you think you've learned from that that you would able to use when working with communities throughout the state in different regions?

MR. READER: Well, one of the most important things, I think, is humility. You have to be -- you have

to have humility when you work with people, because you can't go in there with an attitude. You have to be understanding. You have to be compassionate, if you want them to come along, because, by and large, you'll find that the black community is not very trusting, particularly when it comes to the police, those kinds of things, and rightly so, but we try to bring them along and make them feel good about what they believe, though you can't do a lot, but you do what you can to help. You understand that they're being (indiscernible).

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: So we've already talked -- or you've talked a little bit about the Martin Luther King Center for Social Change.

MR. READER: Yes.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: I understand that you founded and directed that at the Bakersfield College, correct?

MR. READER: (Indiscernible.)

MR. READER: Well, it had to do with my president. He's since passed, but I'll tell you what. He was an

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: What drew you to do that?

advocate for minorities, and he came to me one day in my office, and he says, "Robert," he says, "I want you to write me a program about starting something with Martin Luther King Center."

So he put me to the task to do that, and he pulled

some strings. He found me a room, found me some furniture. He found me all the stuff that I needed to get started. He made this one room, and actually a room about this size here, and he basically set it up, and all I had to do was just provide the guidance.

So what I did, I went ahead, and he asked me to put it together. I sat down, and I wrote up my goals and objectives, what I wanted to do. It was like a five-year term as to how far I'm going to go. I projected five years into the future, and I set up my goals and objectives quarterly, and then, again, I brought my advisory board on board, and we sat down, and we had a very -- we went over all of those things, the goals and objectives.

We modified them to -- not only my goals. Since I wrote it, it was my idea, but I also made it inclusive to include the advisory board's ideas, because they had some good ideas. I'm not the only one that has ideas, and I tried to fuse all that together to make it work, and I did, and I had it for five years, and we did some good things.

We did things for the community, and my focus was primarily on -- we went out there and we had sports camps.

If you notice, my background is in sports. Again, I'm a track-and-field guy, but we had soccer camps. We had basketball camps. We had wrestling camps. We had all those things, and we dealt with like three- to 15-year-old

kids, come to the camps on the weekends, and it was a way for them to get away, out of the environment. We had a bus. We would go out, pick them up, bring them, and let them participate. We'd take them back, drop them off.

So, really, it was a great, great opportunity for me, and I was quite honored that he would ask me to write something up. Again, it wasn't easy, because we did have some dissenters on the committee, and so I had to convince them. So I did everything I could to bring them aboard. I took their ideas, made it work, and my own ideas, and so, by doing so, I made them inclusive, it went forth, and they got on board. We wrote these things, and that's how we got these celebrations things, got involved with the Max Student Union. We got involved with a lot of different things, and everybody walked away happy. The students were happy. So it was a really, really great program, but it's no longer any more. Sad, but it was good while I had it.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you. So part of your role in that -- you stated that you were the liaison, or a liaison, between the college and the community?

MR. READER: Yes.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: In what way were you that liaison?

MR. READER: Well, I was a recruiter. I went out and recruited students, particularly the high school. We

would go out and -- we would go out and talk to the students about Bakersfield College. We would talk about their goals. We would talk about a lot of different things, and we would actually set up programs so they wouldn't have to come to the college. We would actually go out there and register them. So we did a lot of things like that, being proactive, trying to make things easy for the students, and to make sure that they came to Bakersfield College.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Okay. Thank you. So what do you think you've learned from those interactions that would help you with the work of the Commission?

MR. READER: Repeat the question again, please.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: What have you -- what did you learn from the interactions with reaching out to the community that will help with the work of the Commission?

MR. READER: Well, the thing that I consider most important is, when you're working with people, you have to show humility. You can't go out there and try to force your way on them. So you have to kind of work with them, to kind of bring them in, to have them feel comfortable with you. So those are the things that I've learned when I was working. You have to be kind and thoughtful, and make them think that you really want them to be a part of the set.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: So you talked about -- you have quite a few different types of diverse experiences.

Did you work with any data of any sort, or big data, in any of your previous positions?

MR. READER: The only data that I worked with is when I did things for banks. I would look at the market doings, particularly when you're doing an appraisal. You have to sit down, and we have to determine a fair market value. You would go through, you would do your research in terms of your compatibility, the property that you're doing the appraisal on. Then you have to go out and find a match, particularly when it comes to sales.

You have to do kind of a -- you have to look at the data, and they had certain parameters that you had to operate within, particularly when you're looking at sales prices. You can do only do -- for some of the square footage, you would have to -- you could only go 200 feet above and 200 feet below, and on rare occasions, you would go beyond that.

For the most part, you'd work within that framework to ascertain the value, and then you'd do what you call a market extraction. Then you'd go in and get the various comps that you would normally have, and you would put them side by side, and then you would make adjustments according to likes and dislikes, and you would put together a value

on what's there and what's not, and just have to go through methodically and pick out what's right, make an adjustment on the square footage, whether it's high or low. So everything has to end up at the middle mark.

So you're trying to -- you work with data in that regard, and you also try to make sure that you give a fair representation of the property and the condition of the property. So those are the things that we -- I dealt with a lot of stats in that regard.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Okay.

MR. READER: And, again, when I was a -- when I got my master's degree in counseling, there was a course that I took, something like a stats class, and it was called "Methods," and it was a probability and stats course that we had to do to pass the (indiscernible).

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: So, when you were using the data for real estate, did you pull data from various sources? And how did you determine what would be the best source of data and evaluate the reliability of that data?

MR. READER: Well, the thing is, when you're doing appraisals, you have certain guidelines you have to work within. If you do a house, you have to do everything what you call "like kinds." In other words, you have to find property -- for example, if a property had a pool, if your property had a pool, but the price range is right there,

and it's very close to the price, and you use it as part of your overall determination of value, well, then, what you'll do, you'll bring it back in.

You make the adjustment on this price range like that, but you would come in and say, "Okay. You got a pool. This person doesn't have a pool." So what you do, you would do an upwards adjustment, take 10 or \$15,000 for the pool, if you had a small pool, or, if you didn't have a pool, and the subject didn't have a pool, and you found one that had a pool, you'd do just the opposite, the other way, to take it off so that everything balances. So you're eliminating that pool as an item.

So, based upon that -- and it's called "market extraction" -- you look at -- you have a variety of -- you use the county records that -- you find your value. You would use other types of Internet to find companies had sales you would use. So you would gather all of this stuff together, and then you would sit down and you'd just look at it, and then you would put it together, and then you'd come up with a market value.

MS. PELLMAN: We have about three minutes, 20 seconds remaining.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Okay. Thank you.

So the way that the Commission is selected, the first eight Commissions (sic) are selected randomly, and

then they select the remaining six Commissioners. Should you be selected as one of the first eight, what would you be looking for in the remaining six?

MR. READER: The remaining six, I would assume, based on what I gave you early on -- I would look at them. They would have to have some of the things that I mentioned early on in my ideal, and, I mean, it's a lot, but I really feel that the things that I mentioned early on, I think, with fairness, impartiality, and, like, we're building relationships, they have to have that, and they can have more, but I think they have to have a core value.

Now, someone else may look at it something different, but I'm looking at it, those four things that they must have, and, again, one of the things I really, really think that we all should have is, have the courage to step up to the plate and represent the people in California, because it's very diverse, and you're going to put California, with its diversity, on your back for the next 10 years.

Again, like I said before, it's like a marriage.

You know, you're not going to be happy with some of the things that they do, but, at the same time, you're going to try to do what's right to make everybody happy.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you.

Mr. Coe, I have no more questions at this point.

CHAIR COE: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Dickison.

Mr. Belnap, the floor is yours.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: Good morning, Mr. Reader.

Most of my questions have been asked, so I'm going to focus on your impartiality essay. So, for nearly four decades, you were a college counselor at Bakersfield College.

MR. READER: Yes.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: How does a college counselor need to exercise impartiality?

MR. READER: He has to be fair. He has to be fair in how he looks at things, how he navigates the settings in the community or in the college system. You have to be fair and impartial. You have to be able to guide the students, show them data, so they can make a determination as to how they're going to get there, and when.

So you just have to kind of just work with your students. You have to have fair access. They have to have access to me, and I make sure that I have the access and the services available for them to take advantage of, and if they don't have -- if they don't know how to get there, then it's my job as a counselor -- it's my job to make sure I point them in the right direction so they can make a wise, good decisions.

You know, the thing, what's so good about this whole thing, when I'm working with my students, I feel so

good about it sometimes, but you never know how you're making an impact on an individual. You know, what feels good about me is when I have a student come back to me years later, that I haven't had contact for years, and they say, "Mr. Reader, I really appreciate what you've done for me, and you showed me what to do," and I get that all the time. I had some students come into see me and say that if it hadn't been for you I would have, never, ever finish school.

For example, I had a student of mine. His name was Mack Montana (phonetic), never forget him. He had a rough life, and he didn't know exactly what he was doing. He came to me, and I said, "Did you finish school?" I said, "You're still in school?" He said, "No." I said, "Mack, I want you to go back to school now." I didn't know if he was going to take my advice, but guess what he did?

He took my advice, went back to school, and he went on, finished up, got his degree in sociology. He works for the corrections -- an institution today. And in all that, he -- I'm kind of choking up because it's come up, so excuse me. But this part, this is why I choked up, because he said to me, "You're just like my father," and it got me.. You could tell. So, when you make an impact like that, it means a lot to me. I'll get to this in a minute (sic).

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: Thank you for sharing that experience, Mr. Reader. As you think back on your days as a counselor, can you think of times where, when you were counseling with a student, you had to set aside your own personal views in order to help them come to a good educational plan for them?

MR. READER: All the time. Some people come in there, and you have to sit down and you have to listen to them, not once, not twice, several times, and you still may not get to them, but eventually you hope that you could get to them, and I would say 50 percent of the problem is the fact that they're young and inexperienced, and so, in my job as a counselor, I told them it was my job to make sure that I give them the best opportunity, because I saw all the good in them, and I pushed very hard to make sure that they achieved their success that I knew they could.

Then I had one -- I won't cry on this one. I had a girl that, before I retired, which is 10 years ago, came back to me, and I'd known her for a while. I met her at the college, you know, on campus, and I told her, I said, "You know what?" She had no confidence at all. I says, "You know what?" I says, "You talk well. You write very beautifully." And I said, "I don't see why" -- I said, "You're losing out on life."

She didn't pay any attention, but she had the

talent, and I kept driving it home and driving it home.

She went into the service. She came back, and then she came to me again. I said, "Are you in school?" She says,

"No." I says, "You need to get in school, because you have a talent to do something with it."

So I didn't know if she was going to do anything, but, the very next week, she went and signed up, started her education. Last year, she graduated, and got her AA degree, and she invited me to her graduation, and I went there, and it was wonderful.

So, those kinds of things. You've got to kind of stay with those students, work with them, and no matter how hard it is, you always want to show them the right way, the best way, and provide information for them to make that decision. That's important for them because what they do now is going to come to them down the road, and my attitude is, I always tell them, "It's not how long you take, as long as you arrive."

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: So another question related to impartiality. You were also a small business owner?

MR. READER: Yes.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: So how does -- or how did you have to exercise impartiality in that role, as a small business owner?

MR. READER: Well, you know, it's funny because,

when you have a small business, you have to worry about a lot of things, but I always try to bring -- when I would hire people, I would always try to bring them on. I had my goals in mind, what I wanted to do with my business.

I tried to find the correct fit for my business, and I would always try to get them involved in making a decision, because I don't want it to become "It's my store. You do as I do, I do as I say" attitude. My attitude was, "It's my store. Our common goal is, we want to get to point B easy as possible, and be profitable."

So, in order for me to do that, I had to hire people that I thought would be able to do that, but, at the same time, I had to hire people that had a vision that they can do the same thing that I did. In other words, when they get to a point, they'd have their own business as well.

So I would bring in their -- and some of -- and, lo and behold, a lot of them had some good ideas that I actually implemented in my own business, which made me more successful, and I thought that was what you do. You find somebody to augment (sic) your weaknesses.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: All right. Thank you. I don't have any further questions.

CHAIR COE: Okay. Mr. Dawson, the time is yours.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Good morning again, Mr. Reader. I wanted to follow up on a couple of your responses, some to the standard questions and others to -- responses that you gave to the Panel Members. In your answer to Standard Question 1, you said if I understood you correctly, one of the most important things that the Commission needs is courage.

MR. READER: Correct.

MR. DAWSON: Can you define what "courage" means in this context, and what would be the result if the Commission was not courageous?

MR. READER: Well, the thing is, being courage (sic), I feel that you have to be courageous enough to take the hits that you're going to get as a Commissioner, because some of the decisions that you make may not be agreeable r for your Commissioners. Some might be afraid to step forward, because, remember the most problems you're going to have is probably from other Commissioners, and if you don't have the courage to state your mind, but be respectful, you will never get anything accomplished.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you. In one of your responses to a question that Ms. Dickison had raised, you talked about avoiding a conflict of interest in your work in real estate.

MR. READER: Yes.

MR. DAWSON: I guess it's a -- there's a tension

between being a real estate appraiser and being a real estate developer. Can you describe an experience where you had to set aside your personal interest in this context?

MR. READER: Well, anything that I'm -- anything that -- by law, anything that you're involved in, if it has your name on it, you can't touch it. You have to get somebody else to do your work. Otherwise, you can go to jail behind it.

So you always try to step aside. If it was my property, I don't -- and the banks, the banks won't take it, if you give your own appraisal on the property. So you basically -- you're hands-off when it comes to your own personal property. You've got to be very transparent, to eliminate any type of ambiguity.

MR. DAWSON: I see. So, in that same part of your application -- this is the essay on impartiality -- you described serving on the USA fact finding committee about whether the USA should participate in the Moscow Olympics in 1980. Am I correct?

MR. READER: Right.

MR. DAWSON: How did you come to be part of that committee?

MR. READER: Well, the Olympic Committee chose me, and that's how I got on the committee, but, at the same time, I was an athlete. I had competed on the AAU. I've

traveled all over the world, and I got to know people, and they knew what I can do, my capability as an athlete, but, as I got -- as I left the athletic world and became a professor, I stayed in contact with some of the people that knew me, and they brought me on board because they said that I had a good balance in terms of good feel as to what needs to happen to help the athletes along the way.

So my whole deal when I went over there was to basically promote the athletes, and when we got there, we found that it wasn't -- because of all the stuff they were doing over there in Russia, it just wouldn't benefit sports to even think about going there. So, as a consensus, the group decided, "Well, we need to be there," and that's what made the decision, why we didn't go.

MR. DAWSON: And that advised USIOC-- is that correct, USOC?

MR. READER: Right.

MR. DAWSON: Okay. Thank you. Let me just follow up. I think I understood you to say you're a lifelong resident of Kern County?

MR. READER: All my life, except when I was -- I'm from Louisiana, Monroe, Louisiana, but I was raised in California most of my life since I was eight years of age, younger.

MR. DAWSON: And then your time in Corvallis as

well, right?

MR. READER: And the time in Corvallis, yes.

MR. DAWSON: So California politics and government tends to be dominated by the coastal areas, San Francisco, L.A., San Diego, but, as a resident of Kern County and the Central Valley, what are some of the concerns of the Central Valley that the coastal communities don't or can't understand?

MR. READER: The pollution, because, if you're on the coast, you never get to -- you really never get to see any pollution, because the wind blows it out. This is where it blows it to. It blows it to Bakersfield, California, and during the summer months, it's really, really, really bad.

Pollution, basically, it's one of the worst cities. When it comes to Bakersfield, it's classified as one of the worst cities when it comes to pollution. So, with all the wind in San Francisco, and over on the coast in San Barbara, down in L.A., we get it blown down, just what settles. It settles at the base of the Grapevine, where, basically, it creates a problem for us down here. So pollution is number one.

The graduation rates from high school could be another issue. They're kind of -- they're the lowest, particularly when it comes to minorities and Hispanic, or

people of color. The oil drilling is a problem down here. We have fracking, at least, we did. I'm not sure if they still do it now or if it's been on hold.

So we have a lot of internal issues that we have to deal with down here, as opposed to the coastal areas don't have to deal with, because of all the additional infractions, and it creates a lot of health issues that -- for example, I'm not sure how -- the health issue for the minorities, or you get the Valley Fever kind of thing.

I'm not sure if that's part of -- but we have these issues, and I'm sure we're not unique. You can actually get it all over the state, but I think it happens more frequently in Bakersfield, in Tulare County and those areas, where we have a lot of agriculture, where they're digging up the dirt and all that stuff. So you have to be careful.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you. So I see that you have been a college counselor and coach for many, many years, nearly four decades. How have the concerns of young Californians changed over that time, and, conversely, how have they -- what has remained the same?

MR. READER: Repeat the question again, please.

One thing I wanted to -- talking about the last -- we were talking about income disparity. That was an issue. Okay.

Go ahead.

MR. DAWSON: I'm sorry. I wanted to ask you about your experience as a long-time college counselor and coach. You were around young Californians, but, over that period of time, how have the concerns of young Californians changed, and how have they remained the same?

MR. READER: Well, it hasn't changed so much. It's pretty much the same, but the only thing is in terms of what has changed, and I think is disturbing to me, is the fact that they've gotten more into the technology aspect. They've lost the humanistic, the connection side of it. They really do things on the Internet, talk to you on the phone, text, things I don't particularly care for too much, but I do it.

I think the whole dynamics is, "We've graduated, we've grown a little bit," but the overall reactions are still the same, pretty much. The attitude, the food, the scarcity that we have, there's a lot of things that still exist that was happening back when I was in college.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you. I have one last question. You talked about in your real estate work -- I assume that you worked with maps. Did you use census data or GIS data in your work there?

MR. READER: Not a lot. In terms of -- you're talking about when I was an appraiser?

46

1 MR. DAWSON: Yes. MR. READER: Yes. I didn't use a lot of it, 2 3 because a lot of stuff that I did was here in Bakersfield 4 and Tulare County, those areas. I didn't do a whole lot of 5 it, so I don't have a lot of experience in that, but it 6 shouldn't be -- it couldn't be that hard. 7 MR. DAWSON: Thank you. 8 I have no further follow-ups. If any of the Panels 9 have follow-up questions? 10 CHAIR COE: Ms. Dickison, do you have any follow-up 11 questions? 12 VICE CHAIR DICKISON: I do not. 13 CHAIR COE: Mr. Belnap? 14 PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: I do not, either. 15 CHAIR COE: I have no follow-up questions. 16 MR. DAWSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 17 Mr. Reader, at this point --18 Madame Secretary, could I have a time check, 19 please. 20 MS. PELLMAN: Yes. We have 27 minutes remaining. 21 MR. DAWSON: Thank you. 22 Mr. Reader, at this point, I think everyone is 23 finished with their questions, and we'd like to offer you 24 the opportunity to make a closing statement to the Panel, 25 if you wish.

MR. READER: Sure. I'd like to take the opportunity to thank all of the Panel, and how they've worked with me in terms of getting this done, because I was ready to come up to Sacramento to do the interview in person, and I haven't done an interview like this in almost 50 years.

I only did two before this, one for the high school and one for the community college, and I took the community college job, and, 50 years later, I end up doing another interview, which is kind of crazy, and maybe that's why I'm a little uncomfortable at this point, the fact that I haven't been doing this on a regular basis, and being retired 10 years from college, and so you kind of lose the edge a little bit, but I appreciate all that you guys are doing, and if I'm lucky enough to get beyond this point, I will do the best that I possibly can to make you guys proud of me, make the state proud of me.

I appreciate all that you've done, and, hopefully, that you -- by getting me in this room and keeping me from getting the virus -- and, as you can see, I've got my little bottle with me, to make sure I don't walk away with anything that I'm not supposed to have. But, all in all, I appreciate it, and I'm just thankful that I made it this far with you guys, and I hope I get to go further, and thank you very much.

CHAIR COE: And thank you, Mr. Reader, for taking the time this morning to speak with us.

Our next interview is scheduled at 10:45, so we will be in recess until 10:44.

(A recess was held from 10:05 a.m. to 10:44 a.m.)

CHAIR COE: The time is 10:44. I'd like to come out

of recess.

I'd like to verify, Ms. Dickison, you're with us.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Yes, I am.

CHAIR COE: We'd like to welcome Mr. Derric Taylor for his interview this morning.

Mr. Taylor, can you hear us okay?

MR. TAYLOR: Yes, I can. Thank you.

CHAIR COE: Great. I'd like to turn the time over to Mr. Dawson to ask the five standard questions, please.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Sir, I'm going to read you the five standard questions that the Panel has asked each Applicant to respond to. Are you ready, sir?

MR. TAYLOR: Yes, I am.

MR. DAWSON: First question: What skills and attributes should all Commissioners possess? What skills or competencies should the Commission possess collectively? Of the skills, attributes, and competencies that each Commissioner should possess, which do you possess? In

summary, how will you contribute to the success of the Commission?

MR. TAYLOR: Great question. I think this is one of the tantamount issues of the Commission. So, to a certain degree, all of the Commissioners should be individuals that have a desire to serve as individuals that are analytical, have a respect for the diversity of California, which are the prescriptions of the Commissioner role.

I feel overwhelmingly, though, that each
Commissioner should have a distinct desire to be fair and
impartial, and within that desire to be fair and impartial,
everything else will come into play. So, if you have a
desire to be fair and impartial, and you're deliberate in
your attempts to do that, you'll respect other individuals.
You'll seek out information. You will analyze and
synthesize the information that you're given to come to
sound decisions.

I feel that I possess those qualities. My job as an investigator with the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department enables me to function in that manner. It is my job to be fair and impartial on an everyday platform.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you. Question two: Work on the Commission requires members of different political backgrounds to work together. Since the 2010 Commission

was selected and formed, the American political conversation has become increasingly polarized, whether in the press, on social media, and even in our own families.

What characteristics do you possess, and what characteristics should your fellow Commissioners possess, that will protect against hyperpartisanship? What will you do to ensure that the work of the Commission is not seen as polarized or hyperpartisan, and avoid perceptions of political bias and conflict?

MR. TAYLOR: Those characteristics that were asked, of what will make a Commissioner goes hand in hand with this second question. If we're fair and impartial, we look for those common grounds, so that we can come to a consensus or to a sound conclusion. When you're able to do that, you can steer away from the hyperpartisanship.

What happens is that you can -- in an effort to be fair and impartial, you put systems or processes in place that can lead to evidence-based conclusions. You look for empirical evidence so that you can make sound decisions.

We also look for -- what I would think in the Commissioner, we would look for ways to be transparent in our processes, in our dealings, and we would encourage participation. So maximum participation from the public lends itself to transparency, which lends itself to empirical evidence, so that we can make sound decisions

based on that evidence going forward.

MR. DAWSON: Thank-you. Question three: What is the greatest problem the Commission could encounter, and what actions would you take to avoid or respond to this problem?

MR. TAYLOR: The greatest challenge is probably coming to consensus. With a Commission of 14, there could be a lot of different opinions, a lot of strong views. We're assuming that these Commissioners will be strong-willed individuals. They all have courage of their convictions, and they would like to get their points across.

So I think consensus is the hardest obstacle for the Commission. However, I believe, when you seek the common ground, and we look for conclusions that are based on evidence that we can find, then we have a road map to consensus, and once we are able to break down our arguments or the positions of the Commissioners, we would be able to go forward on our decisions.

My job as an investigator, I have often had to break down those arguments in that form. So I have to look at my cases as they're presented, look at the evidence that's before me, and I have to make a conclusion based on the evidence. So we find the common grounds on what evidence exists.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you. Question four: If you are selected, you will be one of 14 members of the Commission, which is charged with working together to create maps of the new districts.

Please describe a situation where you had to work collaboratively with others on a project to achieve a common goal. Tell us the goal of the project, what your role in the group was, and how the group worked through any conflicts that arose. What lessons would you take from this group experience to the Commission, if selected?

MR. TAYLOR: Continuing to use my job as an example, a few years ago, I was fortunate enough to be on a multiagency taskforce, and in that taskforce, we were charged with reducing violent crime within the San Gabriel Valley area, and in doing so, we brought people from different agencies, with different practices or modes of operation, and we would have to construct investigations to reduce violent crime, and during those meetings, or each investigation of a case, you have various levels of responsibilities.

So, for one case, you might be the head investigating officer, and you're responsible for doling out or delegating the responsibilities of the other investigators, and so you're responsible for the direction of that investigation and its impact on the community

immediately around you, and so there would be debate or disagreements between the direction an investigation should go, or how it should be handled, or how an informant should be used.

So, again, we would try to, in those moments when there's disagreements -- and I would, also -- we would try to reduce it down to what our purpose is, and the purpose is, for that taskforce, was to reduce violence, and how would this, or this action, or this procedure, or this process -- how would that take us to our common goal?

So we would explain, "Well, given this action, this would probably be the circumstances, and this would be the affect on the community." And so we're able to look at the outcomes, the probable outcomes, to see if that fit into our overall goals, and then to go forward from there.

So my role at any given time, at any given responsibility, would be to present outcomes, and we would debate and discuss those given circumstances, debate the outcomes, to achieve our goals, and I think that ultimately worked to our advantage. The taskforce, while I was present or seated in our city, was highly effective. We were able to reduce crime at a level not seen in recent years, and so that was a very positive outcome.

So you take from that, or those meetings, especially, when we debate that, that you have to listen to

your fellow officers, your fellow investigators, which would be your fellow Commissioners. You have to objectively look at what they present, and then move forward to see if it reaches the common goal. On the Commission, it's the same thing. We lay out what is our -- what are we trying to accomplish, and will this process or act help us to reach that goal?

MR. DAWSON: Thank you. Question five: A considerable amount of the Commission's work will involve meeting with people from all over California who come from very different backgrounds and a wide variety of perspectives. If you are selected as a Commissioner, what skills and attributes will make you effective at interacting with people from different backgrounds and who have a variety of perspectives? What experiences have you had that will help you be effective at understanding and appreciating people and communities of different backgrounds and who have a variety of perspectives?

MR. TAYLOR: Another great question. One of the best things or one of the things that I enjoy about law enforcement is that you meet everyone. You meet individuals from every background. You meet the young, you meet the old. You meet every ethnicity. You meet every demographic. You meet individuals that like you, that don't.

So you interact with every segment that California has to offer, and you do it in different forms. You not only do it when they're requesting help, but you do it as you're getting lunch, because people like to interact with police officers, in uniform and out of uniform, when they find out who you are.

So I think those interactions throughout my career has caused me to appreciate everyone for who they are.

Everyone, there's commonality, and it's all -- the human race, the human animal, is a lot more similar than we are dissimilar. So that has brought me to the belief that we are all looking for the same thing, and that's representation. We're all looking for that American Dream. So I think that point of view helps ground me, and that we all have some of the same issues.

Now, if I take that to a personal level, I'm a Southern California kid. I was born in Los Angeles. I moved to the San Gabriel Valley, Altadena, Pasadena, when I was young. I went to schools throughout the city and through the neighboring cities, and I always tell my children, as we're talking about some of my elementary school, is that I traveled from what would be the foothills of the San Gabriel, Altadena, all the way to Alhambra to go to school.

I rode the public transit, the RTD, as it was

called then, and in traveling, I would pass through every community there was, the Asian community that is Alhambra, the African-American and Caucasian community that is Pasadena, the working-class community, would pass through the Latino community, and so I think that I sucked up or I became a part of all those places as I traveled, just going back and forth to school.

I was fortunate enough to go to high school in Pasadena at a time when the high school was very diverse, and so I had friends from every segment, and I think that made me a more appreciative person, and I actually think it made me a better police officer when I eventually joined the Sheriff's Department, and I compound that with the fact that I went away to school.

So I didn't go to undergrad, I didn't go to college in California. I went away to Morehouse College in Georgia, and I was confronted with a different culture, and not so much as these are different people, but just a different way of life, and that was also added with the fact that, at Morehouse, there were so many students from other parts of the country.

So I got to learn the perspective of other people.

I got to know the perspective of people in a rural

community. I got to learn the perspective of people in

more densely populated communities. I got to learn the

perspective of people down south, and people north, and you get to see how their perspective is shaped, and why different issues are important to them.

My love for California brought me back home again.

I'm a California kid, so I came back home, and, as those of us that are here, have been here for a while, one of the benefits of Southern California is, I think, we have every community represented in what's around us.

So I enjoy snow skiing. So, if you go snow skiing, the people that you meet snow skiing are different than the people that you meet at the beach. I enjoy going to the beach, but those individuals from Manhattan Beach, a little different than those individuals in Wrightwood, and their concerns are different. I enjoy hiking trails. So, when you're on a trail, you meet a different individual. His concerns, his perspective, is different than those individuals.

It was some years ago, but I took my wife and my brother and we went winetasting. So we went to Santa Barbara, and we were speaking to some of the people in Santa Barbara. We were speaking to some of the business owners, some of the vineyards, people that worked in vineyards, and their concerns are different. Of course, all these individuals share a commonality, but their concerns may be different.

Now, my enjoyment of all these things has led me to bond with their perspective, and I acknowledge their concern, and I can see their point, especially in a political environment. I wouldn't want to vineyards to be gone. I wouldn't want the beach to be gone. I wouldn't want the mountains to be gone. So I think, if we're respective (sic) of those levels on a micro and a macro level, we can make sound decisions that are good for communities and good for us all.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you.

At this point, we will go to Panel questions. Each Panel Member will have 20 minutes to ask his or her questions, and we will start with the Chair, Mr. Coe.

CHAIR COE: Good morning, Mr. Taylor. Thank you for taking the time to speak with us today.

MR. TAYLOR: Good morning. How are you?

CHAIR COE: I'm well. Just out of curiosity, what's the significance of the movie poster behind you?

MR. TAYLOR: So my wife -- Bonnie and Clyde. I've been married for 20-plus years, and I think that my wife and I are going to stick it out.

CHAIR COE: Okay. Thank you. In your application, and as you discussed already this morning, you're a graduate of Morehouse College in Georgia, you mentioned.

25 Obviously, one of the most notable alumni at Morehouse is

one of the most influential figures in modern history, and that's Doctor Martin Luther King, Junior. What was it like attending the same university as Doctor King, and what effect did that have on you personally?

MR. TAYLOR: Wow. He was, him and among other notable alumni, you know, Spike Lee, Samuel Jackson, Edwin Moses, their social impact -- they are men of action. You walk around with the weight. You're expected, or the hope is, that you become a man of substance, a man of purpose.

The underlying thought at Morehouse is that "We will train you to be a man of substance, a man of action."

The saying is that Morehouse holds a crown above your head, and they want you to grow into that crown. So, when you're on campus, before you become a Morehouse man and you're man of Morehouse, you're groomed to be a man of action, to take stock in who you are, to try to affect your realm of influence, to be a man of purpose.

CHAIR COE: And how much does that ideal that was kind of ingrained in you at Morehouse -- how much did that idea, do you think, affect the course of your life, the trajectory of your -- do you think you would have ended up in the same place had you not had that kind of ideal kind of instilled in you with your experience at Morehouse, or do you think that it changed the trajectory of where your life went?

MR. TAYLOR: So we're influenced by our surroundings, so I would be remiss if I didn't say I didn't learn a strong work ethic from my father. I would be remiss if I didn't say that my mom didn't put a sense of responsibility in who I am. At the basis of my desire to serve was my mom being the leader of my Cub Scout troop, and the president of my Little League, and on the PTA. So there's an element of service that my mom instilled in me. There's an element of work ethic that my dad instilled in me.

For a year before I went off to Morehouse, I went to Mt. SAC, Mt. San Antonio College, a junior college close to us, and I took a logic class, and in the logic class, the individual that wrote my recommendation to go to Morehouse -- I only went to junior college for a year -- he stated that Morehouse College and myself -- "Morehouse and Derric would be a great meeting of the minds."

So I think he saw that desire to service, to elevating myself, to elevate those around me at the time, and thought that this was a good place for me to land, and that it would serve me well. I think that continued as I got older. So Morehouse helps to shape the person that you are, but, of course, those seeds have to be in the individual that you are.

CHAIR COE: Thank you for that, and some of your

discussion this morning already in relation to standard question five about all the different communities that you've interacted with throughout your life, and I'm wondering what it is, if you could pick one thing, that you have learned from your interaction with those diverse groups of people that you think would make you a particularly effective representative for them on this Commission.

MR. TAYLOR: I think all of -- and it might even lend itself to my recommenders, who are diverse, the people who I spoke to about attempting to obtain this position, is they said that the thought is that I am sober-minded or sound in my views. In other words, I try to make good, sound decisions.

I think my decision making and judgment is respected amongst my peers, and so, if I extrapolate that onto the Commission, I think I would do the same. I would take the evidence as presented, look at the needs of a given community, look at it both on a micro and a macro level, and make a sound decision within the context of our group.

CHAIR COE: So kind of a similar question, but, as you mentioned, you were born and raised in Southern California, and aside from your time, I think, at Morehouse, you've been generally in that region for your

entire life. Is that right?

MR. TAYLOR: Yes. I've lived in Southern California my entire life.

CHAIR COE: So what about interactions with people in other regions of the state, outside of your home region, and what experiences have you had with people outside your home region? What did you learn from those individuals about their perspectives and their concerns regionally that may be different from Los Angeles? What have you learned from those folks that would make you an effective representative for them on this Commission?

MR. TAYLOR: Again, it all falls back to the common bond that we all share. So, just in my life experience, in my time on the Sheriff's Department, and people that I've interacted with, I've met people from every part of the state. So I have friends that live up north.

I have friends that have moved up north and that, when we talk, we discuss what's going on in those places.

I have friends that have moved further south, San Diego, so friends in Oakland, friends in San Francisco, friends that commute back and forth. They live in both places as a course of their business. So, in my interaction with them, I still see what is common, common to us.

So someone from an agricultural or rural environment, I understand that they have issues surrounding

water, development, and immigration, and those are relevant issues because that's what sustains life, and those points. People from the city, from where I live, I understand that they have issues surrounding redevelopment, gentrification, attracting business, to housing. Those are all relevant issues.

So, although I've been centered here, I have friends, my wife has friends. I have a number of friends that have attended college in those cities. So I again think that the commonality, the issues that are relevant to those places, still ties us all together, so that I can fairly represent those individuals as well.

CHAIR COE: Thank you for that. I'd like to switch topics a little bit to the topic of impartiality, and I'm wondering if you can give us an example of a time where -- maybe an example of work experience in law enforcement where you had made a decision about something that you thought was the right course of action, then you maybe received some additional information that perhaps maybe caused you to change your mind. Is there an example of something like that that you've experienced?

MR. TAYLOR: So I think, still in general, since it's one of the best ways to look at your issues, you are often faced with a given set of facts when a case is presented to you, and it can look as if -- and now that

we're -- what we're speaking, yes (sic).

So I had a particular case where it looked as if this individual had committed a particular crime, and even some of my fellow investigators thought that this was for certain the individual that did it, but the case still must run its course. You know, it's our job to follow all the information that's given to us.

Over the course of a month or two, and piecemeal, we started getting additional information, and in that particular case, it switched from that individual to the person that actually had committed this particular crime, and it was fascinating that -- and, you know, we always tell each other truth is stranger than fiction, but it was fascinating to follow the evidence as it moved from what definitively looked like the individual to a whole different person that was sort of on the periphery of what was happening.

It also stood as a -- it can stand as the standard bearer, is that we have to be fair and impartial. We have to follow evidence as it comes. We would be remiss and we would be negligent in our duties if we didn't follow our steps and processes.

CHAIR COE: Thank you. One of the biggest jobs the Commission is going to have is to identify communities of interest throughout the state. Some of those may be easier

to identify than others. Some are harder to identify.

They're less engaged, and they're not as easily

identifiable. Do you think that your extensive experience

being engaged with communities in your role as a law

enforcement officer would be an asset to the Commission in

regards to identifying communities of interest?

MR. TAYLOR: I do. I almost view these

Commissioners as investigators, much the same as I view
auditors as investigators. I've, throughout my career,
been able to talk with people. Having a team of
individuals, of course, is good, because individuals choose
the people that they want to talk to, but I've been able to
talk. That's been one of my strengths throughout my
career, and so being able to talk to people, to engage them
in conversation, would be an asset when trying to find
those communities of interest that aren't as visible or
easily seen.

So yes, I do think that my law enforcement experience would be of benefit, and that's compounded with the data and the information that we also have available to us. So those conversations, in conjunction with data, would be a strength.

CHAIR COE: Okay. So some communities, as you may have experienced in your role as a law enforcement officer, are less comfortable coming forward and speaking with

organizations or with government sometimes, for various reasons.

How would you, as a Commissioner, or how did you, as a law enforcement officer, make some of those communities that may have been less engaged or concerned about engaging -- how would you go through a process of making them feel comfortable to engage with the Commission and provide their perspectives to help inform the Commission in its work?

MR. TAYLOR: Sometimes, when good old-fashioned persistence doesn't work, you just choose a different route, a different avenue, and in today's modern age -- and who would have thought it when I entered law enforcement some years ago? So, if I have an individual that doesn't want to speak to me, they don't answer their phone when I come by -- they don't answer the phone when I call, they don't answer the door when I come by -- sometimes a text message will do, and that's a different way to reach out to someone, for whatever reason, might be hesitant to talk to law enforcement.

I've made contacts with individuals I needed to speak with on Facebook, on Instagram, through social media, through community leaders, through their friends, through the periphery. So I think you just try to find an avenue that's comfortable for them, and I've even had to use other

people, if someone wasn't comfortable speaking with me, to send another investigator they might be comfortable with. So you try to find where that individual is, so that you can make the contact necessary, and so, given the role as a Commissioner, you try to meet the people where they are, so that they can be fairly represented and so that their issues can come forward.

CHAIR COE: Thank you.

Madame Secretary, can I get a time check, please.

MS. PELLMAN: Yes. We have three minutes, 30 seconds remaining.

CHAIR COE: Thank you.

Mr. Taylor, if you were to be appointed to the Commission, which aspects of that role do you think that you would enjoy the most, and, conversely, which aspects of that role do you think you might struggle with a little bit?

MR. TAYLOR: I would find this whole endeavor to be enjoyable. My undergraduate degree is in accounting, so the data and the numbers of it I find fascinating. Even though I'm not practicing accounting, that still seems to be where my heart lies. The social science of it all is fascinating. I would find the interaction, the learning -- it would all be -- I find this to be a worthwhile endeavor.

The only drawback to this is I'm a family man, so, of course, time away from your family is time lost, but I think, with my family's belief in service, it's what we expect. My family is willing to sacrifice some time so that you can contribute to a worthwhile endeavor. So I find this to be fascinating. I'm not sure what I wouldn't enjoy about it, and the time away would be the most regrettable, but that's what we've been bred to do.

CHAIR COE: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. I don't have any further questions.

Ms. Dickison, the time is now yours.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you, Mr. Coe.

Good morning, Mr. Taylor.

MR. TAYLOR: Good morning.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: So you mentioned that you have your degree in accounting. So what prompted the career in law enforcement after getting your degree in accounting?

MR. TAYLOR: So, as I mentioned before, my mom believes in service, and she showed that service by engaging in the things that I've done throughout my life.

I've played baseball throughout my college career, and even recreationally as an adult, and my mom was always the biggest cheerleader. She always played a role in my extracurriculars.

There was a time when I thought that law enforcement was uneven in its application towards minorities, and, while it's not a perfect profession, I didn't quite understand some of the inner workings of the profession, of law enforcement, and my mom's challenge to me was "Don't complain about a problem. Go become the solution." And so, being challenged by my mom, I went and I applied, and, 20-some-odd years later, I find it to be a very rewarding career.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you. So, in your diversity essay, you talked about coming into contact with people of different backgrounds, and you stated that you've been able to see the needs of various family units and communities.

What have you learned of the needs of communities you've interacted with that could bind them together as a community of interest?

MR. TAYLOR: So the city where I worked is often divided into north, south, east, and west, and traveling back and forth between the cities and talking to the individuals, you may see a need for job training among the young people on every side of the city, a place for them to congregate, socialize, to have a central place to study, to have a place where resources are available to all, tutoring.

So you can speak to the parents on one side of the city, and speak to the parents on another side of the city, and see that particular need, and so that can marry together, those two, that community. That could be a community of interest based on the needs of that demographic, that group.

Now, another side of the city, there may be more of a need for government resources. So there might be a need to bring in business development, and so this community possibly needs someone that can push or support that business development or redevelopment for that particular community.

So, in conversation with the people, I would say you start to see the needs. You start to see what is common from one part of the city to another part of the city, and how they have a shared interest in what happens in this particular tract or radius.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you. How much knowledge do you have of the other regions of the state?

MR. TAYLOR: So I would say it's a cursory knowledge. I haven't lived up north, so I understand that it's a different community. I've spoken with many people up north, that came from up north or currently work for the Sheriff's Department that were formerly up in Tulare County.

So I understand some of the issues that they talk about, but it's a cursory knowledge, just in visiting San Francisco or visiting Oakland, or traveling down to San Diego, or traveling to Temecula. So it's cursory knowledge.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Okay. But, thinking of what you've learned about the needs of the communities that you've worked with, how can you use that knowledge to help you identify communities of interest or community needs in other areas of the state?

MR. TAYLOR: So you research those. You research those areas. So, given what the agenda would be, given what the Commission is working on at the moment, you research those areas. That's one of the wonderful things about the Internet, is that so much information is available to us now, and then you compound that with the meetings. You combine that with social media. You combine those with other avenues of contact, and you can get a working knowledge of what's happening, and it can go beyond the cursory information.

It's funny because I've worked station detectives, but, at any given time, you specialize in a particular element, so you can specialize in robberies for a period of time, or assaults, or white collar crimes, or identity theft, and sometimes, when you move from case to case, you

don't have any experience in that area. Sometimes this is the first time or the second time you have a case, and you have to dive into what that case consists of. So you have to fill yourself with the background, and then you can go forward to make a sound decision.

So, for the regions that I'm not as familiar with, or have a cursory knowledge of, I would fill myself with the backgrounds, and I would dive into what that area consists of, and I'd review some of the resources that are available to me. So my friends that are from those regions, I get to reach out and I get to talk to them more, and combine that with learning more about those regions, and I think that information would make me -- could bring me up to speed to be an effective representative.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you. So, in your activities, you talked about that you've been involved in a number of professional, social, and volunteer activities whose premise has been for the betterment of surrounding community. What caused you to seek those types of activities?

MR. TAYLOR: It has always been my goal to leave the world in a better place, and I like to even bring that in the micro, even smaller. I would like anyone that comes in contact with me to be a better person because of it. So I've sought organizations that have that same purpose, "How

can we leave our community, how can we leave our surrounding area, better than what we found it?" And I think I will always be of that mind set. I want to make the world a better place. That's one of the things I'm trying to instill in my children, to leave this world in a better place than you found it.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you. So you've engaged in mentoring youth, fundraising for scholarships, and voter registration. What did you learn from your engagements in mentoring youth that will help you in reaching out and connecting with diverse groups of people in other regions?

MR. TAYLOR: So I think, with young people, they really want you to listen, and I think that's a skill that would translate up and down, up and down the ladder, whether talking with young people or talking with old people. I'm often in contact with seniors, and they want someone to listen, and so I think that's one of the biggest qualities I can learn with them.

If you listen, and you can hear what individuals are saying, you'll find what the commonality would be within that community of interest. So you have to be engaged, you have to be participatory, and you have to listen to what people are saying, so that you can identify their need.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: What steps do you think the

Commission can take to ensure that communities know that the Commission not only listened, but heard what they said and considered their comments?

MR. TAYLOR: You know, I think the Commission should encourage as much participation as it can. It should inspire the public to contribute. I think it should be redundant in its efforts. I don't believe, if you do some things once and only once -- and given the logistics sometimes, that's all you can do, but I think efforts should be to do things on multiple fronts.

So a community meeting is nice, but sometimes two community meetings may be better, to give everyone an opportunity to be heard. Reaching people on one platform is nice. Multiple platforms is better. I think about my application for the Commission. I'm fairly computer-savvy. At least, I'm able to use a computer. But, if there was a venue where it was only publicized, I may never have heard it or saw this opportunity.

So I think multiple platforms, reaching out to the public, when given the opportunity, being redundant in your processes will enable everyone to participate. The more participation, the better. That would fight the hyperpartisanship, it would be transparent, and it would help us to be an effective Commission.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you.

Secretary, can I get a time check?

MS. PELLMAN: Yes. We have six minutes, 33 seconds.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you.

In your work as an investigator, I'm sure you had to look at various types of information or evidence and determine the reliability or validity of that information. How can you use that when you're looking -- those skills when you're looking at information or data that the Commission will be using to draw the lines?

MR. TAYLOR: It's always helpful when you can corroborate information, and that's what we attempt to do. So we'll get information from a source, and you want something that you can corroborate that source with. So, as a Commission, we would take in that information, and, hopefully, we can corroborate that information with data, another source, or some other piece of evidence. You want to be able to -- even though it's coming from one point, you want to be able to hold it as somewhat objective, that this person said it, but this seems to be the pattern, and this is the empirical evidence.

So, as an investigator, you always want to try to corroborate, and we would do the same on the Commission.

We'd want to try to validate the information that comes in, because often people speak in superlatives, and often

people speak from an emotional standpoint. So you want to try to filter that information, and stream from it what is objective, what is subjective, and to move forward to make sound decisions.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you. The way the Commission is selected, the first eight are selected randomly, and then they are tasked with selecting the final six Commissioners to round out the Commission. If you are selected as one of the first eight, what would you be looking for in those final six Commissioners?

MR. TAYLOR: I would be looking for individuals that can supplement that team environment. So I'm viewing the Commission as a team, in parlance, as a colloquialism, a bureau, as we would think of it in my profession, and so we have to function well as a bureau.

If there's individuals that have good interpersonal skills on the team, as constituted with the initial Commissioners, then we might look for someone that is strong on the admin side, or someone who has that strong structural (sic), while still possessing those other qualities that make a good Commissioner. So I would be looking for people that can round out the team, so to speak, and again using one of my extracurricular activities, I don't want a baseball team full of batters. We need some pitchers.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions at this time.

CHAIR COE: Thank you, Ms. Dickison.

Mr. Belnap.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: Thank you, Mr. Taylor, for taking the time to interview with us today. In your answers today, you talked about evidence, and evidence-based decision making. As it relates to the work of the Commission, what are the types of evidence that the Commissioners would be gathering, evaluating, and using?

MR. TAYLOR: So evidence is testimony as well, so I don't want people to think that word of mouth or statements from individuals aren't evidence. Evidence is also testimony, but we're looking at past maps. We're looking at census data. We're looking at election data. We're looking at the evidence or the testimony at open meetings. We're looking at speaking to community leaders. So we're looking at social media. We're trying to find those patterns. All those items exist as evidence and can help you make a decision.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: Thank you. Do you believe that, as a Commissioner, you will encounter people who are wary of or even have a bias against law enforcement, and, if so, how will you respond to these individuals?

MR. TAYLOR: Actually, at times, law enforcement

has a love/hate relationship with the community. My mechanism has always been to inspire a conversation. When given an opportunity, I challenge and I ask people, "Why? What is your issue?" So I try to engage in conversation. I try to find what their issue is, where the problems lie, and see if there's a common ground within there.

I am self-critical. I am open to criticism. I'm ready to admit when law enforcement as a whole, myself in particular, have done something wrong, for lack of a better word, and I am always explaining why the processes are this way and what the purpose of it is. I think, when people see what the purpose is, then they can see the common ground.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: All right. Thank you. So I'd like you to describe an experience or an example that demonstrates that, as your time as an investigator, you were able to put aside your own belief, maybe even your own biases, to come to an appropriate conclusion to an investigation.

MR. TAYLOR: Yes. I used an example in my essay where I had a particular case, and most people sort of automatically turn on a switch when it comes to domestic violence, and I had a case that involved a domestic violence incident, and often those domestic violence incidents lean towards, and the data shows, and the

literature shows how often males are arrested.

So I'm investigating this case, and you're fighting that natural -- you're fighting that desire to say that this is a male's crime, and you sift through it, and you see that this individual didn't precipitate this set of events, and the evidence shows that this was a case where the female was the dominant aggressor, and you shift, based on the evidence, to arrest the appropriate person. I think that's an instance where you fight what might be your natural direction, your own biases, to make an effective decision.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: Okay. Thank you. In the activity section of your application, you indicate that you've had the privilege to work on several committees and with various groups, but you didn't name all those committees and groups. Can you provide a few examples, in particular, where you worked with people of a variety of backgrounds to come to a common objective?

MR. TAYLOR: So I'm a member of Alpha Phi Alpha fraternity. We have several committees. We have our mentoring, our voters' registration, and we work in those committees to try to find what's the most effective way to connect with the committee (sic) to make our mentoringships available.

I'm a member of the Manly Deeds Scholarship

Foundation, so we're working to effectively fundraise, put on our golf tournament, to connect with kids to offer our scholarships, and to connect with the community so that they are aware of our scholarships, so that they can make use of those funds.

So it's primarily been in that context, and on those committees, you have people of different opinions. They want to engage the public in different ways, and you just have to come to a consensus of how best to affect those goals.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: Okay. Thank you. I don't have any further questions.

CHAIR COE: Okay. Mr. Dawson.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you, Mr. Coe.

Mr. Taylor, I'd like to just follow up on a couple of questions, some responses that you'd made to the standard questions. Standard question two, I understood you to say that, in your opinion, maximum public participation promotes transparency, which promotes a successful process. Can you expand on your thought on that? I'm interested to hear what you were getting at.

MR. TAYLOR: Yes. So, under the belief that decisions are made behind closed doors, or decisions are made that affect individuals without their input, and especially if there's an adverse effect to it, that leads

itself to the thought that these individuals did something in their own best interest. So the idea is, is that I want to show the public that I'm working for them.

In my cases, I want to show the public that I'm working for them as far as it is -- as far as I'm legally able, I will show you the steps. I will show the suspect the steps I took in an investigation, because this is their process as well. Due process belongs to us all. It's not for a victim. Due process belongs to us all. So transparency in that context, in that parlance, is due process.

I want due process to be obvious. If I get maximum participation from the public, they see the due process, and it lends itself to a transparent process, and they can see that we were working for them, that this was not something that was working against them.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: Thank you. So, sort of along the same vein of thought, in 2010, the Commission went out and they did a series of public meetings up and down the state, trying to get input from the public about communities of interest, and in one of their reports, they indicated that they found that some of the folks who were coming up purporting to be representing grassroots interests really weren't who they said they were, that they might have been there for a political or a partisan

purpose.

Do you think that your experience as a law enforcement officer could help you sort of suss out who is honest and who is who they say they are?

MR. TAYLOR: So, again, truth is often stranger than fiction, and you meet -- in the course of my business, I meet people from every angle that there are, so that's when the importance of trying to corroborate what an individual says. So you might get a leaning, and it helps you to seek out the information to corroborate what an individual says. So something, your intuition, which is a form of perception -- your intuition leads you to seek information, and as long as you have processes around that, you try to corroborate the information that's given.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you. In your response to standard question four, which was about your group experience, you talked about working on an interagency taskforce. What jurisdictions were those?

MR. TAYLOR: So it's the San Gabriel Valley Safe
Streets Taskforce. It was the FBI, Pasadena Police
Department, Pomona Police Department, various sheriff
stations. There are a number of sheriff stations, because
it's a county area and contract cities. So all those
agencies worked together.

When we would have a case that would sort of lead

to a neighboring agency, LAPD would come in. So all of the -- Alhambra PD or Temple City, Temple City

Sheriff -- so all those agencies that would have been affected by violent crime in the San Gabriel Valley were at the nexus of that taskforce.

MR. DAWSON: Did that involve public participation as well? Was there public input?

MR. TAYLOR: No. That was strictly -- I guess the underpinnings of it were law enforcement. Public always comments on our interactions within the city. So you would have people that would contact the various stations, or the board of supervisors, or the city manager of Pasadena, or the chief of police of Pasadena, and they would comment on our actions within the city, and those filter down to us on the taskforce, and we have to adjust accordingly, or justify our actions. So, from that extent, there's always public comment on what law enforcement does.

MR. DAWSON: But there were no public meetings?

MR. TAYLOR: No public meetings, no.

MR. DAWSON: What kind of data did the taskforce use? Was it mapping data, census data, that sort of stuff?

MR. TAYLOR: We used some mapping data, but it was all relative to where crime is happening in the city, and it's judged against populations, and populations of neighboring areas.

MR. DAWSON: Were there expert geographers or demographers called in help you analyze this data, or was it sort of at the police officer level?

MR. TAYLOR: No. We would synthesize the information on our own or through our crime analysis.

MR. DAWSON: I see. I wanted to follow up on a question that Ms. Dickison asked about how the first eight are selected at random, and then the second six are selected by the first eight, and you were indicating that the second six really needed to have those sort of attributes of fairness and analytical ability, but my question is about -- the entire makeup of the Commission is intended to reflect the diversity of California's demographics and geography. So I'm curious as to how much weight you would put onto having geographic balance.

MR. TAYLOR: I think geographic balance is important. As we talked about, being a subject matter expert, it helps to have someone there. Oftentimes we think of diversity as in placing an individual that is constructed or represents a specific demographic, and I think what literature has shown is that, when we have people of various backgrounds, they bring that knowledge to the table.

So having people that are represented geographically, having people that are represented

1 economically, demographically, ethnically, you bring that

2 body of knowledge to the board, so the board, the

3 Commission, it will be better for its diversification.

4 That will be one of the benefits of it, because they bring

5 that knowledge base with them. So, although I can become a

subject expert for Tulare County, if I have someone from

that region, we together can identify those communities of

interest, in that we, as a team, can function at a higher

9 level.

6

7

8

12

18

19

MR. DAWSON: I see. Thank you. I noticed that you recently just returned to school to earn your master's.

What brought that about?

MR. TAYLOR: I believe that you should continually

14 be learning, and we should always want to know the "whys"

15 of why we're doing things, and so, as a check and balance

16 for myself, I thought it necessary to go to school, to

17 return to school, to continue to hone my skills, as a form

of professional advancement, as a way to hone my skills

even better.

20 MR. DAWSON: And that was while you were still

21 working full-time?

22 MR. TAYLOR: Absolutely.

MR. DAWSON: And did you have to drive down to

24 Irvine every day or so?

MR. TAYLOR: No. There's an online function to the

degree. So there were times when I could go on campus, but the world of the Internet is amazing, and I think we're all experiencing that now with the pandemic. My child's high school especially did not miss a beat. My brother-in-law and my sister-in-law are currently in college, so they show me how, on line, it's just a part of their lexicon. It's a part of their learning environment.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you.

Those were all of my follow-up questions, Mr.

Chair. If there are any additional follow-ups from the

11 Penal?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

25

12 CHAIR COE: Ms. Dickison, do you have any follow-up 13 questions?

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: I do not have any follow-up questions.

16 CHAIR COE: Mr. Belnap?

17 PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: I do not.

18 CHAIR COE: I have no follow-up questions.

19 Counsel?

20 MR. DAWSON: Madame Secretary, could I have a time 21 check, please.

MS. PELLMAN: We have 16 minutes and 37 seconds remaining.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you.

Mr. Taylor, at this point, I think we're all done

with Panel questions. I would like to offer you the opportunity to make a closing statement to the Panel, if you wish.

MR. TAYLOR: Thank you. Just in short, again, I thank you for the opportunity. I would love to be of service if given the chance. I think that this Commission has done something that is revolutionary in seeking its diversity and enabling or empowering the vote of each and every citizen throughout California. I full believe that that's what it's doing. So I am thankful for this opportunity. I think it would be best served by the diversity. Thank you.

CHAIR COE: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Taylor, for speaking with us today.

Our next interview is scheduled for 1:15 p.m. So we'll be in recess until 1:14.

(A recess was held from 11:50 a.m. to 1:14 p.m.)

CHAIR COE: Okay. I'd like to go ahead and bring this meeting back to order. I'd like to welcome Ms. Judith Francis for her interview today.

Ms. Francis, can you hear us okay?

MS. FRANCIS: Yes, I can.

23 CHAIR COE: Great.

MS. FRANCIS: Can you hear me?

25 CHAIR COE: I can, indeed. Thank you.

I'd like to turn the time over to Mr. Dawson to ask the five standard questions, please.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Ms. Francis, I'm going to ask you five standard questions that the Panel has requested that each Applicant respond to. Are you ready, ma'am?

MS. FRANCIS: I am.

MR. DAWSON: First question: What skills and attributes should all Commissioners possess? What skills or competencies should the Commission possess collectively?

Of the skills, attributes, and competencies that each Commissioner should possess, which do you possess? Ir summary, how will you contribute to the success of the Commission?

MS. FRANCIS: All Commissioners need to start with a basic understanding of and respect for the democratic base principles. Our government is by the people, of the people, for the people. I didn't say that in the right order, but that means the authority is from the bottom up, and not from the top down. Thus full participation and decision making, of which elections is one of the most important aspects, is essential.

While the rule of majority is paramount, a democracy also entails protection of the minority. The Constitution has certain safeguards built in, such as

checks and balances, the three independent pillars of government, executive, congressional, judicial. However, these checks and balances are only as strong as the voters make them.

Last, but certainly not least, the ability to be impartial, to be ready to establish districts that protect the power and integrity of various communities of interest, rather than favoring one's own party. This objective is the very reason this Commission exists.

As far as the skills and competencies, collectively, Commissioners must possess analytical, organizational, and logistics skills. They must be able to work collectively, listen intently even to ideas that are different from your own, have a deep respect for and understanding of cultural diversity, understand the basic mechanics of mapping and the requirements of contiguous districting, as well as various rules and limitations of establishing districts, have a good level of computer competency, read and interpret graphs, charts, statistics.

However, the Commission is not just about the here and now. It must have a certain amount of vision, attempt to analyze and forecast trends, and the probable effect on voting, keeping in mind that the Commission's work will cover a 10-year period, until the next census.

Women have always been a force to contend with, but

that power has grown by leaps and bounds. What future impact -- how long will voting be affected -- or how will voting be affected by the Dreamers, if and when they get a path to citizenship? Currently, Latino populations are growing faster than the national average. What impact might that have two to four or six years from now?

The Bay Area, with extremely high housing costs, has begun building microunits. How will that impact density and other issues that will come before voters?

The U.S. has the highest rate of incarceration in the world. California alone incarcerates more per capita than most First World countries. If that trend changes, or if the law is limiting voting rights of incarcerated citizens, what impact will that have down the line?

Out-of-state U.S. students that establish state residency after one year and thus vote on local issues, voting districts dominated by college students, all of these things that might affect the future are things that the Commission should look at as well.

California also is at the forefront of environmental awareness. The Commission must be able to research the various industries present in or adjacent to the communities of interest, determine their potential impact, and discuss how, if at all, this information should influence on voting districts drawn. Some examples are oil

refineries, hospitals, industrial farms, and many others which lead to chemicals, potential accidents, or contagious threats might impact communities of interest.

The Commission must be able to make difficult decisions, and justify such decisions with compiled and reliable data.

As for the skills that I possess, I believe that my background, including both formal education and life experiences, has prepared me in all of these areas. My first career, as a secondary teacher in both inner-city and non-inner-city high schools, entailed interaction with a myriad of races, cultures, and attitudes from students, faculty, and parents.

As an undergrad, I had absolutely no intention of being a teacher. In my sophomore year, I attended the Sorbonne University in Paris, France, where I developed fluency in French. My nine-month stay in France totally changed my outlook on race, my self-perception, and my assumptions about others.

In my junior year at UCLA, I volunteered as a tutor in a program UCLA developed for students from Watts, after the first riots in Watts. I was assigned to teach English, tutor English. The students, though A and B students at their high schools, made serious errors in English grammar while speaking. I used foreign language skills development

tests -- or, excuse me, exercises -- to improve their standard English.

I also developed a very positive relationship with several of the students. I visited their homes in the projects for the first time, and their schools. I was truly astonished at the discrepancy between their limited educational opportunities and my own. That was a deciding factor in deciding to become a teacher.

One incredible occurrence 25 years later. After having become a diplomat serving in West Africa, the staff was summoned to a presentation by a meeting attorney from USAID. Lo and behold, it turned out to be one of the former students I tutored.

At the end of his presentation, he came up to me, also amazed at our meeting halfway around the world 25 years later, and he whispered in my ear, "I know you were listening. Did I make any mistakes?" And my answer was "Yes, I was, and no, you didn't," grinning from ear to ear.

Throughout my career, I've pulled aside many young, bright, upcoming diplomats who nevertheless would make noticeable grammatical errors. I would explain discreetly that most would never say anything about those errors, but they would have a glass ceiling on their advancement. Each of them expressed gratefulness, and said that no one had ever pointed it out or made corrections, and they improved

tremendously. In this regard, I believe that our tendency toward political correctness can also have a detrimental effect.

My second career was as a family law attorney. As an undergraduate language major, I always enjoyed writing and had strong writing skills. However, in law school, I learned a totally different style of writing, with emphasis on brevity, conciseness, and clarity without embellishment. I'm comfortable with both styles.

In my private practice, I consulted with clients, many of whom were quite emotional. I wrote briefs, made court appearances, maintained records. Though inactive, I'm still in good standing with the California Bar.

After law school, I completed an MBA, which added an entirely new set of skills and knowledge. The courses in finance, statistics, computer marketing, et cetera, honed my quantitative skills. At that time, UCLA was ranked in the top 10 business schools in the nation, and was the only public school in that category.

While teaching and during my practice of law, I ran a small business tutoring French and Spanish privately.

During my teaching career, they did not pay us during the summer months. I was a certified Red Cross water safety instructor, and taught swimming in the summer to children and adults. So I've run businesses.

My third and longest career was as a U.S. diplomat, a foreign service officer with the Department of State.

Twenty-one of my 24 years with State was spent abroad, in eight different postings, only two of which were English-speaking.

I have worked with innumerable committees, some quite contentious, during my years with the State Department. I personally financed and oversaw the construction of a five-room kindergarten in a small, impoverished village in Ghana, West Africa, which is still thriving.

As the management counselor in various U.S. embassies, I had to be in charge of all the logistics, forecast future requirements, work with spreadsheets, and analyze data for budgetary and procurement requirements. I worked with multinational staff personnel, and had to understand their perspectives, strengths, and weaknesses.

A survival course offered by the State Department was one of the most challenging I've ever experienced, but one of its components included learning more than I ever wanted to know about using a compass, understanding coordinates, and reading maps, put those to use by dropping us in the middle of a sort of a jungle area, it seemed like, you know, forest, and giving us a certain amount of time to find our way back just using a compass.

Fortunately, we were a group of four.

My family upbringing, my undergraduate foreign language major, my lengthy experience living abroad all have provided me with extraordinary opportunities to truly experience and understand diversity, its benefit and challenges.

How would I contribute to the success of this

Commission? I work well with others, regardless of their

views, values, ethnicity, age, or orientation. I've always

had leadership roles, and know how to do research, meet

deadlines, and complete projects. I always have, and look

forward to enhancing even more, a solid history of exposure

to several different cultural communities.

I understand that those communities are not necessarily homogenous. They often experience serious age and gender differences. In immigrant communities, especially, there are often common patterns. The parents, who were raised abroad, often want to maintain their culture, honor wisdom that comes with age, ensure that their children learn their native language, respect authority.

Their grown children, who are raised in the U.S., often have a greater belief that it is youth that will save the world, resist their parents' urging to learn the native language, believe in individuality and uniqueness rather

than conformity, and are totally integrated into the American way of life.

These two groups, though the same ethnicity and may live in the geographic region, may well represent very different electoral voting blocs. The Commission must seek to understand and accommodate that discrepancy.

> MR. DAWSON: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FRANCIS: Thank you.

MR. DAWSON: Madame Secretary, could I have a time check, please.

MS. PELLMAN: Yes. We have 16 minutes, 59 seconds 12 remaining.

> MR. DAWSON: Thank you.

Ms. Francis, I want to make sure that you have the opportunity to answer all five of the standard questions.

MS. FRANCIS: Okay.

MR. DAWSON: Question two: Work on the Commission requires members of different political backgrounds to work together. Since the 2010 Commission was selected and formed, the American political conversation has become increasingly polarized, whether in the press, on social media, and even in our own families.

What characteristics do you possess, and what characteristics should your fellow Commissioners possess, that will protect against hyperpartisanship? What will you do to ensure that the work of the Commission is not seen as polarized or hyperpartisan, and avoid perceptions of political bias and conflict?

MS. FRANCIS: Working at a U.S. embassy is a perfect training ground in dealing with hyperpartisanship. Though the ambassador represents the State Department, he or she has several entities under his or her authority that must work together.

In any U.S. embassy, the spectrum represented covers from right to left and in between. You have the military, the CIA, USAID, Peace Corps, and several other agencies, all with their own budgets, objectives, and agendas.

The section under my authority, as management officer, represented a microcosm of the entire embassy. They often attended my weekly internal staff meetings with cups touting the excellence of the likes of Rush Limbaugh to Louis Farrakhan. I would make a joke about them, and then proceed with the meeting agenda. However, I would also, on an individual basis, seek to understand the various viewpoints, have discussions, and see whether I could understand where people were coming from.

I'm still in communication, after many years, with persons from both sides of the spectrum. I've earned their respect, and I'm still able to have open dialogue, even

though we agree to disagree on certain issues.

I was also responsible for enforcing the Hatch Act, to ensure that none of these employees engaged in partisan politics publicly. I would instruct American embassy personnel to remove bumper stickers, regardless of who they supported, from cars with diplomatic license plates. Most would comply without argument. A few I had to be a bit more persuasive with.

In short, I possess an even-keeled, unshakeable temperament, I know how to find commonality among various factions, and I have a deep, abiding belief that every viewpoint has value.

As to the next part of that question, the Commission must strive for as much transparency as possible. It must also seek the widest public participation as it can muster. It must support all of its decisions with solid, defendable rationale and data.

As far as what is the problem -- I think you said, "What problem would the Commission encounter?" Even though the question is in the singular, I think that there are four major problems.

MR. DAWSON: Ms. Francis, I actually hadn't gotten to that question, but would you like me to ask it?

MS. FRANCIS: I'm sorry. I'm sorry.

MR. DAWSON: Yes. Okay.

MS. FRANCIS: I thought that was part B.

MR. DAWSON: Question three is: What is the greatest problem the Commission could encounter, and what actions would you take to avoid or respond to this problem?

MS. FRANCIS: Okay. For me, there are four separate problems that are important. I think the greatest one would be public apathy. If the Commission can't get active buy-in and public participation, this could definitely affect the perception of bias. It might also favor certain constituencies. The public could start perceiving it as not a fair process.

To avoid or respond to this problem, the Commission must fully study and research each of the various communities of interest, be willing to meet them at the location and at the times that are mostly likely to produce the greatest turnout. The Commission would need to advertise any and all of its meetings in the media most used by these communities, be it newspapers, church bulletins, favorite radio stations, et cetera.

Of course, this also brings up the uncertainty of the length of time and ultimate impact of the COVID-19 virus. No one at this point knows whether or not there will be permanent changes due to the scare, or to what extent it will influence the ways in which we can communicate with various communities.

The ability to meet with and obtain meaningful and accurate input could be impacted. The timing and scheduling of such meetings could help some and hurt other communities of interest. The fact that we don't know how long this will last, or whether life as we knew it would ever return, further complicates the Commission's work.

Another potential problem, the third, could be lawsuits which could bog down and negatively impact the entire process. It might not be completely in the Commission's power to avoid lawsuits, but, by being diligent in documenting and factually supporting each of its decisions, it may be able to minimize the delay and shorten the time to resolve them, should they occur.

The fourth and final problem that I perceive.

Another community of interest could be the enormous homeless population that exists throughout California.

We're beginning to get a fairly good idea as to the numbers, but what do we know about them as voters?

Regardless of their voting propensities, how are their interests and needs addressed by our system? To what extent can the Commission's decisions impact the homeless?

Thank you.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you. Question four: If you are selected, you will be one of 14 members of the Commission, which is charged with working together to create maps of

the new districts. Please describe a situation where you had to work collaboratively with others on a project to achieve a common goal. Tell us the goal of the project, what your role in the group was, and how the group worked through any conflicts that arose. What lessons would you take from this group experience to the Commission, if selected?

MS. FRANCIS: As the top-ranking management officer, I was responsible for the entire embassy. I had to oversee human resources, procurement, housing, general services, warehousing, and motor pool.

Additionally, in both Jamaica and Ghana, I had to oversee the entire process of building new embassies in both places. I chaired community meetings to answer the questions, explain the process, negotiate certain aspects of the impending construction, and, at times, calm the anger of local residents concerned with the congestion, inconvenience, and security issues that a U.S. embassy might cause in their residential district. I created a myriad of spreadsheets showing timeliness, cost of materials, budgets, government regulation, et cetera.

In Senegal, West Africa, I was in charge of the complicated bidding process for an embassy guard contract, which involved research of local laws, all written in French, as well as knowledge of U.S. regulations, and

constant written communications with the State Department. The process was difficult and extremely contentious, pitting the ambassador, the State Department, and the various bidders on conflicting sides. Many sleepless nights were passed, but I managed to complete the process, and earned a commendation.

These experiences, once again, demonstrated my ability to stay calm, work meticulously, meet deadlines, negotiate effectively, and remain aware of what each faction represented in self-interest, desired outcome, and level of personal commitment. These lessons should be applicable to the Commission.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you.

MS. FRANCIS: Thank you.

MR. DAWSON: Question five: A considerable amount of the Commission's work will involve meeting with people from all over California who come from very different backgrounds and a wide variety of perspectives.

If you are selected as a Commissioner, what skills and attributes will make you effective at interacting with people from different backgrounds and who have a variety of perspectives?

What experiences have you had that will help you be effective at understanding and appreciating people and communities of different backgrounds and who have a variety

of perspectives?

MS. FRANCIS: As previously mentioned, I've had extensive exposure, since childhood, to various ethnicities. A bit of biographical background.

My father grew up in California, graduated from UCLA, then attended Meharry Medical School, one of the two med schools open to blacks at that time, to become a doctor. He did his residency in Texas, where he met and married my mother, and established the first medical clinic that treated blacks in Beaumont, Texas.

He was one of many professionals that helped support some black dockworkers striking in Galveston, an action which angered the white establishment. Upon seeing a Ku Klux Klan cross burning in our front lawn, my parents knew that that was the time to pack up and leave. I was only six months old. Once in California, my oldest brother was the first black student to attend our public elementary school.

My school friends were of various ethnic backgrounds, Jewish, Armenian, Italian. Upon visiting their homes, I noticed how they spoke differently at home than at school, what is now called "code-switching." One of my friends had two deaf parents. I was intrigued by the silence and watching her sign as she communicated with her parents.

By fifth grade, a Japanese-American and one other black girl joined our class. In fact, my introduction to foreign language learning was thanks to two Japanese neighbors and playmates who were second-generation Nisei born in Manzanar War Relocation Center during the war. They attended Japanese school on Saturday, and studied their lessons daily before we could play.

I studied with them. It was a chore for them, but fun for me, and I learned that I had a propensity for languages. They finally told me I had to shut up when their parents came in, because, if I pronounced better than they did, they got in trouble.

Junior high was my introduction to a substantial number of other black students, and, ironically, my second experience with language learning. When accused of talking and acting like a white girl, I, too, learned to code-switch. However, I will be eternally grateful for the early multilingual and multiethnic exposure, and the way it influenced my lifelong fascination with languages and cultures.

Another reason for my reason for interaction with diversity is my lifelong involvement in outdoor activities and sports. I was a member of UCLA's women's swim team.

I've been an active tennis player throughout my life, an avid snow skier, and often did a lot of champion sport

fishing, and waterskiing. My kids were involved in soccer, baseball, football, and karate. All of these activities exposed me to different demographic groups, racial, financial, and geographic.

I grew up privileged. However, I am eternally grateful for having parents who instilled values, and made sure that my brothers and I did not confuse being fortunate with being superior or more intelligent. We were taught that our duty was to give back, help others to become successful, and be part of the solution, not part of the problem.

Through educational experiences, also, I've learned valuable lessons in making me aware of my own biases, two that I'd like to share. At UCLA, in the fifth-year teacher training curriculum, one professor, Doctor Popham, believed in pretest and post-test to prove what we'd learned during each lecture.

One day, he was lecturing on inner city teaching and issues. He announced that he had two presentations for us. As usual, the pretest would be distributed, which covered the substantial issues.

The first presenter, a black lady with a headwrap, large earrings, and an African print blouse, began her address with "I thank you all for the opportunity to speak. I have came (sic) here today to tell you about issues in

the inner city." The rest of her discourse was laced with egregious grammar. After she spoke, most did poorly on the test. Shortly thereafter, the very same lady came out, reappeared, professionally dressed, and gave the same discourse in impeccable English. The test scores shot up.

Doctor Popham then proceeded with the real issue, that our biases sometimes block our ability or willingness to listen with an open mind. The lifelong lesson this experience afforded me helped me to recognize and counteract potential biases that can interfere with active listening.

A few years later, I was privileged to represent the State Department concerning NAFTA in a high-level meeting chaired by Mr. Frost, the chairman of Frost National Bank, a Fortune 500 company in San Antonio, Texas. His slow Texas drawl may have previously been interpreted differently. Instead, I was fascinated by how skillfully, yet politely, he kept the meeting on track. The finesse with which he would interrupt anyone getting off track from the agenda was done in such a way as to make the person feel proud of what he or she had just contributed.

MS. PELLMAN: Excuse me. We have two minutes, 30 seconds remaining.

MS. FRANCIS: Okay. As a black foreign-language teacher in a classroom that was mostly white and Hispanic,

the classroom was arranged with an aisle down the middle and students on either side. I immediately noticed that they segregated themselves, all whites on one side, Latinos on the other. Rather than ignoring it, I converted a tense situation into a learning moment, where we had an open discussion about diversity. This changed attitudes and (indiscernible) new friendships.

For several years, I've been an active member of the Friendship Force Los Angeles, a chapter of an international organization based in Atlanta which foments understanding of other cultures through personal interaction. I've done homestays in Mexico and Guadeloupe. I have had visitors in my home from Costa Rica, Japan, Brazil, and other countries, which has provided a unique opportunity to develop a far deeper understanding and appreciation of different customs and cultures.

I made sure to teach the kids not only the language, but more about the culture of the various target languages. In one high school (indiscernible) predominantly black, but still had substantial racial diversity. I was approached by a few students wanting to form a black student union and asking me to be their sponsor. I convinced them to make it a black culture and education club, and make it inclusive of all interested students. It turned out to be --

MS. PELLMAN: Forty-five seconds remaining.

MS. FRANCIS: -- the most popular club on campus. We had a few white and Asian students who were active and constructive in their participation and contributed greatly. Several former students, black, white, Asian, and Latino, have kept in contact over the years, and have provided feedback on how fair, though tough, I was as a teacher. Thank you.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you.

At this point, we will go to questions from each of the Panel Members. Each Panel Member will have 20 minutes to ask his or her questions, and we'll start with the Chair, Mr. Coe.

CHAIR COE: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Dawson.

Good afternoon again, Ms. Francis. Thank you for speaking with us today. In your application, you wrote:

"Being a part of a Commission which represents the diversity of our society is one step in guaranteeing the fairness and thoroughness of redistricting quidelines."

This is obviously a huge part of what the Commission will attempt to do. It is easy to say, but difficult, I think, to actually do in practice. How can a Commission of only 14 people successfully represent the

diversity of California society?

MS. FRANCIS: Well, at the beginning, at least, the very fact that we come from different -- you know, should I be part of the Commission? -- that we come from different backgrounds, I think, goes greatly toward making sure that there's a variety of viewpoints and experiences represented.

As a matter of fact, I'd like to read something that -- I recently wrote -- "wrote" -- I recently read a book by Susan Rice, a former U.S. diplomat to the U.N., and I really was impressed by one of the quotes from there:

"We are the most diverse nation on earth, and it benefits the U.S. to model that diversity to the world, yet, all too often, we fail to leverage our greatest strength. Meanwhile, numerous recent studies have validated that, whether in the private sector, nonprofit world, or government, more diverse teams make better decisions and achieve measurably better outcomes."

I think the Commission can't be perfect. It can't represent all groups. But I think that it's a very good start that it needs to make sure that diversity exists.

CHAIR COE: Thank you. Similar question to the

last one, but instead of being focused on the Commission as a whole, it is more focused on you personally as an Applicant.

You stated in your application that, in your experience as both a teacher and as a diplomat for the United States Department of State, you've lived and worked in several locations, and have worked with people of several races, ethnicities, socioeconomic, political, and social backgrounds, both here in California and in foreign countries.

What will you take from these experiences that will help you, as a Commissioner, successfully represent the broad expanse of diversity in California?

MS. FRANCIS: Well, I think that, if and when, you know, if I'm on the Commission, and we go to different areas, and get to know different communities of interest, I'm used to communicating with various groups, and I've so far been quite successful at it.

Also, I don't just listen to the words. I look at body language. I would try to find out as much as I could about the culture of that group, because sometimes it's considered rude to contradict somebody of authority, period, and so the kind of feedback that you would get at a meeting might not be as open and honest as you would like it to be.

At several times, if you really look at the body language, the inflection, and other things like that, you get a much better idea of how much of the honest opinion you're getting, and I think that's important. You know, it's an experience that I've had many, many times.

CHAIR COE: Thank you. You mentioned communities of interest, and that's going to be one of the biggest tasks in front of the Commission, is to identify communities of interest all across the state. Some of these communities are harder to identify than others, for various reasons.

Do you believe that your extensive experience working in foreign countries, in many different environments and cultures, would be an asset to the Commission in regards to identifying communities of interest here in California?

MS. FRANCIS: I certainly do. I think that, you know, I would have to start out by research outside of those communities, and get an idea of who lives there, what the -- you know, if we're talking about ethnic or different -- you know, socioeconomic or whatever, and then make contact with people, and try to really elicit participation and ideas.

Sometimes it means, you know, going there and finding out what's the most important meeting place -- is

it a restaurant? Is it a church? Is it a community center, or whatever? -- and actually going there and start interacting with people. But yes, I think I'm comfortable doing that.

CHAIR COE: So, continuing on that theme -- and you kind of touched on it a moment ago -- that some communities are less engaged. You know, they may not feel comfortable engaging with authority figures, as you mentioned, or with government entities such as a Commission, but, since their perspective is still important, very important, for the process, and for the Commission to make informed decisions, how would you go about trying to make those communities feel more comfortable to come forward and share their perspectives, to help inform the Commission in their work?

MS. FRANCIS: It makes me think of something that -- you know, I served in a temporary situation in Cuba, and this is before we actually had, supposedly, relations with it, and I would do things like get on a public bus, and people would start conversations, and be surprised that I was American.

Of course, now, with the COVID-19, I don't know how much some of this would be effective, but, you know, I'd go to restaurants, and I made friends with just ordinary Cubans, and was invited to their home.

Are you still there? Can you hear me? Hello?

CHAIR COE: We can still hear you. We've lost your video.

MS. FRANCIS: Okay. Yes. I don't see anybody. Do I just continue?

CHAIR COE: Yes, please do.

MS. FRANCIS: Okay. Anyway, I was able to actually go to be invited to homes, and see a whole different aspect than most of the diplomats that were there saw, and I think the idea of being able to hobnob with the common folks is really important, and it opens people up that otherwise tend to be very suspicious or afraid or whatever.

CHAIR COE: Thank you. I wanted to ask you a question about something you talked about in standard question five, and you gave an example of how you were made aware of your own biases, and how things like that, biases, inherent biases, could interfere with active listening, that you've gone through a process of really recognizing that. That example made that very clear to me.

My question is, not everybody is as in tune with that as you appear to be. So, if you were working with your colleagues on the Commission, and some people may not be as aware of this, how would you address this with colleagues that may not be aware of things like inherent bias and its effect on active listening?

MS. FRANCIS: Well, I think that just by discussing

it openly, and discussing it in such a way that you're not making somebody feel like you're the teacher, that you're saying, "Well, you know, maybe this person, because of, you know, this particular culture, is not able to express it in a way that we can all understand it," and make sure that it's in the "we" and not "you," you know, not saying to another Commission member that "You just don't understand the culture" -- you know, that's the sure way to turn them off -- but to make it inclusive and try to bring it up in a way that people will be receptive to the possibility that maybe, you know, our listening is a bit biased.

CHAIR COE: Thank you. In your essay on impartiality, you point to your experience working as a teacher and working as a diplomat as demonstrations of your ability to be impartial. How do these experiences demonstrate this?

MS. FRANCIS: Well, I think that -- let's see. How do they demonstrate my being impartial? Just the very fact that I had to work with people with various backgrounds, and a lot of times, like I said, that they were on the complete opposite side of the spectrum, and you still had to win their respect and their confidence, and, most of all, keep them communicating with the other people that they might not necessarily agree with, and I've done this with committees, with staff meetings, in various contexts.

For example, when I was working in the Central African Republic, I formed the first organization of colleagues from each embassy, after convincing the State Department that even countries with which we had no or limited diplomatic relationships needed to be included, you know, such as Cuba at the time, and Qadhafi's Libya.

A year later, it turned out to be a godsend during a violent attempted coup. Diplomats that previously did not communicate with each other now knew each other personally, exchanged home phone numbers, and shared information about killings and violence throughout the cities that probably saved lives.

You know, I've actively networked with other nationalities and organizations, and missionaries and private citizens, and, you know, I'm comfortable with dealing with all segments of society, and I believe that this is applicable to the Commission's efforts.

CHAIR COE: Okay. Thank you.

A time check, Madame Secretary, please.

MS. PELLMAN: Yes. We have eight minutes, 25 seconds remaining.

CHAIR COE: Okay. Great.

Ms. Francis, if you were to be appointed to the Commission, which aspects of that role do you think that you would enjoy the most, and, conversely, which aspects of

that role do you think you might perhaps struggle with a little bit?

MS. FRANCIS: Well, what I would enjoy the most would be meeting people and going to these communities of interest, and especially one area that I have read a lot about, but have not had interaction with, is the various Native American communities. You know, that would be wonderful, just to be able to do that.

As far as the ones that I would not be happy with, it's if we got bogged down in minutia, you know, in arguing about smaller, petty things. That would be a real turnoff to me.

CHAIR COE: Okay. Thank you.

I don't have any further questions at this time. So, Ms. Dickison, I'll turn the time over to you.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you, Mr. Coe.

Good afternoon, Ms. Francis.

MS. FRANCIS: Good afternoon.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: So you've answered some of my questions, but you talked about, in your essay and in your responses to the standard questions, your converting a tense situation during your tenure at Van Nuys High School.

MS. FRANCIS: Yes.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Can you share with us how you were able to convert that situation?

MS. FRANCIS: Well, for one thing, you know, sometimes I use humor, but I said to the students -- I said, "Look. I don't have a ball in this game, you know. I'm the only black person here in this room. You guys are Latino and white, and I don't understand why you're not talking to each other, and why you're not sitting with each other, and why you don't interact with each other."

You know, at first, it was met with silence, and then the kids started talking, saying, "Well, we didn't mean anything bad by it," you know. And then, more and more, they started exchanging information, and so, you know, when we had our Christmas party, we sort of laughed because, you know, the Latino kids came in with their Spanish music, and the others came in with rock, you know, and I said, "Well, I'm going to make all of you mad, because we're going to listen to Christmas music at a Christmas party," you know.

So I would lighten it up, and open up the communication so that they would talk to each other, and they would interact, and it turned out to be really successful, because there were some friendships formed that otherwise, I think, would not have happened.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: So, thinking about how you were able to defuse that, what did you learn that will assist you with the work with the Commission from that

incident, and from the response you got?

MS. FRANCIS: Well, I think that, a lot of times, people want to communicate, but there are all kinds of things that keep them from feeling free to do so, and I mentioned -- you know, I might get in trouble for this, but I mentioned political correctness. I think that the intention is good. We don't want to hurt people's feelings. But, because of that, people are reticent to say certain things or ask certain things or discuss certain things.

I used to joke about, every time I would come back from a foreign -- you know, come back on home leave, I'd have to ask other people what I can call myself. You know, am I "Afro-American," or "black," or "African-American?," because, you know -- and even though I'd get things from -- contributed to various causes for Native Americans, a lot of times they'd come saying, "This is the Indian reservation," and, you know, I'd say, "Is that a 'no-no,' and can you not say this?"

People tend to -- because of that fear of not wanting to hurt somebody's feelings, we don't talk. You know, we back up from asking the kind of things or discussing the kind of things that should be discussed, and that's where I'm coming from, is to open up the lines of communication, and, if it's appropriate, to be able to

lighten the mood by joking. Fine.

If there's another way to approach it, I think, you know, asking a question that would be acceptable, and getting a conversation started, do it that way, but the most important thing, I think, is to get people to talk to each other, and not at each other.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Do you think that's a skill that you could use in reaching out to those communities throughout the state?

MS. FRANCIS: Absolutely. Absolutely. I think that it's worked in so many different situations that I do believe it's a skill that could be applicable.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: So you talked about travel to multiple countries, and getting to know their cultures.

How much travel have you done throughout California?

MS. FRANCIS: Most of my travel throughout

California -- I mean, I've been to various places, but not
in a work situation. I mean, I've skied at Mammoth and

Tahoe, and I've camped in Yosemite, and when I've had
guests from other countries, I love to show them, and I've
gone up and down the coast several times, and Monterey and

Big Sur, and I used to spend summers with some friends in
the Bay Area. I went to school for a year in San Diego.

That I feel like I know, and it was quite different from

L.A. at the time.

Mainly I think that, since it is a microcosm of some of the things I've experienced in other parts of the country and throughout the world, I feel like that's not an issue. You know, I will learn as need be, you know, the various areas, and I think that probably all the Commissioners will have places that they're not familiar with, and constituencies, you know, that have different issues.

The farming communities, you know, might be concerned with water issues, and with various issues in terms of growing crops or animals, you know, that are not applicable to Los Angeles or to San Diego or San Francisco, but those we'll all be learning.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Great. So, based on just your knowledge of, you know, different ethnicities or different cultures, what do you think are some of the things that can influence a person's preference when they're looking for representation?

MS. FRANCIS: Well, there are so many. They might have issues of transportation, you know, if there's suitable transportation in and out of their communities. Do they have issues of -- like, recently in the news, one of the oil companies had a big fire, and they were concerned with the pollution of the air in one of the communities.

They might be -- you know, if they're adjacent to certain -- there are hospitals, now that we have the COVID-19. You know, there's a myriad of issues that affect people according to where they live and what the -- what the surrounding impacts are. So we would really have to study those communities, and find out, what are the issues that will affect them?

You know, if they're school age, if it's a university area, it might be the cost of housing. Like I said, in the Bay Area, they're starting to have this micro-housing, which, for me, is a mind-blower, to think of what the density will be if you have a large building with microunits, and what some of the issues might be there.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you.

MS. FRANCIS: And I think the other thing I want to add, or reemphasize, is that we can't just look at today's data. We have to look at trends, and what we think -- because it's important, if this is going to be a Commission that impacts the next 10 years. So we have to try to understand the trends that are going to impact the folks voting.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Okay. So the first eight Commissioners will be selected randomly from those that we submit, and then those eight will be tasked with selecting the next six. If you were one of the first eight, what

would you be looking for in those final six?

MS. FRANCIS: Well, you know, like, impartiality is very important, cultural sensitivity, analytical acumen, the availability and willingness to prioritize this work. You know, are you involved in a full-time job elsewhere that's going to interfere with your ability to travel throughout? Those would be the main things that I think I would look for.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Okay. You mentioned cultural sensitivity. Could you tell us what you mean by that?

MS. FRANCIS: Well, I mean, are you aware -- for example, when we talk about the Dreamers, the kids that were brought here very young, and have grown up totally in the American culture, and some people are saying, "Well, send them back where they came from," for me, that's very unrealistic, seeing how you grow up and where you go to school influences everything about you, and influences your attitudes. Are you a person that believes in individuality?

If you go back to -- say you're from a Middle

Eastern country, and you've decided to dye your hair green,
you know, or have a nose ring or whatever, that is fine
with your friends and your thing, and you try to go back to
a culture you don't even know, it makes no sense. I mean,
you'll be miserable, and you probably won't be able to

function.

I think that's part of cultural sensitivity, to understand that it's not just the nationality you are on a passport, or, you know, on a piece of paper, but the society in which you've been formed, and that is, you know -- and, also, like I said, there are differences between the generations, and that's another part of cultural sensitivity, to understand that sometimes it does cause conflicts when your children have been brought up with a different attitude and different set of values than the parents came over with. The fact that people immigrate here does not mean that they give up their identity, their national identity, from which they came.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you.

Right now I don't have any further questions, Mr.

16 Coe.

CHAIR COE: Thank you, Ms. Dickison.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you.

CHAIR COE: Mr. Belnap.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: Ms. Francis, thank you for being with us. Going through your application, I was trying to sort out a few dates and degrees. So you received a JD and an MBA. I can see from your application that your MBA was from UCLA, and it was 1982. Who is the law degree from?

MS. FRANCIS: The law degree was from the
University of West Los Angeles. When I went to law school,
my whole family thought I was crazy. I was the single
parent of two young boys. I was working full-time, and
UCLA only had full-time day law school.

So I went to night school at -- it's a California-accredited law school, but certainly not one of the major law schools, and the very fact that I was on such a tight schedule -- I got up at 4:00 o'clock every day, and did, you know, my reading and whatever, and got the kids to preschool, and then went and taught high school the whole day, and stayed an extra two hours afterwards to grade papers, and came home, and two to three nights a week, I had classes.

So I didn't have time to procrastinate, and I think that that -- although it was a ridiculous schedule, it helped me to pass the Bar on the first time, because I just didn't have time to procrastinate. So, yes, after I finished law school, I started practicing, but I also went full-time, daytime, to the MBA program.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: So what kind of law did you practice?

MS. FRANCIS: Family law, and I did not like it.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: When did you realize you

25 didn't like it?

MS. FRANCIS: Well, actually, just to be truthful, by my last year of law school, I had decided that I probably wasn't going to practice, you know, or practice a long time, but I wanted to complete the process, and I wanted to pass the Bar, you know, but, you know, I knew I didn't want to do criminal law. You know, I didn't want to do corporate law.

So family law seemed to be the logical thing for me, and I found out that, you know, in California, since it's pretty easy to get a divorce, the clients I had were, you know, just real -- I mean, I thought I would be driven to drink if I continued in family law.

Some of the cases were really difficult. You know, they were pulling the kids apart because of their hatred for each other, and, you know, one trying to get everything, and I just found it really stressful. So I took the State Department exam while I was in business school and practicing, and hoping that that would come through, and when it did, it turned out to be the thing that combined all of my strengths, and that's why I stayed there for 24 years.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: Yes. So, as a U.S. diplomat, were you ever involved in observing or assisting with elections?

MS. FRANCIS: Yes.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: Tell us about that.

MS. FRANCIS: Yes. Okay. One time was in Togo, and there was a substantial -- not a lot of violence, but there was violence, and there were times when the police actually went and burned the voting boxes on the tarmac of the airport.

It really gave me an appreciation for our system, even as flawed as it may be, just watching the whole process, and knowing in advance that the person who was in power was going to be elected by 99.9 percent once they gave the results, which he was, you know. His father had served for 40 years or something like that, and he's the next -- I mean, he's still in power, and that was in Togo.

Fortunately, in Ghana, it was a different case.

There they actually had -- Ghana and Senegal actually had real elections, where there were changes in administration, and it was done, you know, pretty standard. So that was good to see.

So yes, we had to be involved in the elections when they occurred, to observe, to actually go to where they were voting and observe to what extent they seemed to be fair elections, and that was interesting.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: Okay. Thank you. What languages do you speak fluently?

MS. FRANCIS: French and Spanish, and now I'm

teaching myself -- I'm conversational in Portuguese, but now I'm studying it every day, and getting pretty good, and soon I'll be able to say that I'm fluent in Portuguese.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: All right. Thank you. I don't have any further questions.

CHAIR COE: Okay. Mr. Dawson.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Ms. Francis, I wanted to ask you about -- again sort of following up on your career as a foreign service office, I take it that you were mostly involved in administrative work. Is that how you would describe it?

MS. FRANCIS: Well, all foreign service officers start by doing at least two terms of consular work. So, in Mexico and France, I was a consular officer, which means that you do immigrant and non-immigrant visas, and also American services.

Part of American services means visiting prisons, helping Americans in distress, doing passports or whatever paperwork is necessary, and of course, with immigrant and non-immigrant visas, you're doing the interviews, and doing all the necessary things to determine whether somebody is eligible.

MR. DAWSON: I see. So, as a professional foreign service officer, you served under both Republican and Democratic administrations. Is that correct?

MS. FRANCIS: Yes, absolutely.

MR. DAWSON: And I noticed you mentioned the Hatch Act. Can you describe that for the Panel?

MS. FRANCIS: Well, that is prohibiting federal employees from openly participating in any partisan politics, and that means, you know, like, the example I gave is, you know, having banners or, you know, since we were in diplomatic -- we had diplomatic vehicles -- I mean, we had our own vehicles and diplomatic plates, license plates. You couldn't go around, you know, with a banner for one candidate or another.

MR. DAWSON: I see. So that even though that you, as an individual voter, might be registered as a Democrat or a Republican, given your sort of nonpartisan, neutral work, you weren't allowed to espouse a particular partisan position?

MS. FRANCIS: No, not publicly. And one of my duties, especially in Paris, where we have a substantial number of Americans living there, is I had to go and register voters, and make sure that they got all the voting materials, regardless of what party they were in.

MR. DAWSON: Do you think that this experience would be useful to you with your work on the Commission if you were selected?

MS. FRANCIS: Yes. I think the experience of

knowing how to be nonpartisan, and not bring your own politics into making decisions for the entire state or for the entire, you know, community, yes, I think it should be relevant.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you. You had mentioned in one of your responses to the standard questions that part of the challenge in interpreting the census data was going to be how to account for folks who typically get missed, like the homeless persons or maybe undocumented persons. Can you expand on that a bit for us?

MS. FRANCIS: Well, I think, you know, when I filled out my census, I was thinking while filling it out, "My goodness." You know, you're giving the whole -- the name, the birthdate of everybody in the household, and recently, because -- you know, I think a lot of people that are undocumented now have lost a lot of faith in the fact that, you know, there won't be home raids and things, especially recently. ICE has done some raids.

So to fill out that kind of information, honestly and openly, will be a challenge for a good segment of our population, understandably so. You know, we've witnessed some things that make them wary of believing whatever the census people say, that, you know, "No, this won't be used against you." So I think it will be very difficult to get a real accurate census.

MR. DAWSON: Along those lines, does the COVID-19 situation give you any concern about the accuracy or efficacy of the census?

MS. FRANCIS: Absolutely. I mean, we've added another layer of difficulty, and, you know, who is going to -- especially for the census workers that -- my niece is a census worker, and she's gone from house to house, which previously was not a problem for her.

She's bilingual, she's biracial, you know, and she'd go in areas even in Compton and Watts and everything, but now people probably won't even open up their doors to somebody they don't know. You know, they're not supposed to interact. Yes, it's going to add another level of difficulty.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you. I think that maybe all of my other questions have been answered.

Madame Secretary, can we have a time check, please?

MS. PELLMAN: Yes. We have 22 minutes, 45 seconds remaining.

MR. DAWSON: I'm sorry. Could you say that again?

MS. PELLMAN: Yes. Twenty-two minutes, 45

seconds -- actually, now 22 minutes, 35 seconds.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you.

Mr. Chair, if there are any follow-ups from the

25 Panel?

CHAIR COE: Ms. Dickison, do you have any follow-up questions?

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: I do not.

CHAIR COE: Mr. Belnap?

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: I do not.

CHAIR COE: I don't have any follow-up questions, Counsel.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Ms. Francis, at this point, I would like to offer you the opportunity to make a closing statement to the Panel, if you wish.

MS. FRANCIS: Okay. Well, first, you know, thanks to all of you, the Panel, for extremely challenging work that each of you have had to do, especially under the present conditions, the challenge of meeting a statutory deadline while still having to adapt to the conditions caused by COVID-19 pandemic.

I'm sure that many of the other Applicants have had the advantage of working throughout California, an experience I lack. However, I do believe that the various areas of expertise throughout my life's work parallels much of what I would have experienced had I worked throughout California.

On the technical side, I've worked with numerous spreadsheets, budget forecasts, future needs committees,

and various forms and levels of negotiations.

One unique influence that I think I have is my perspective. While living abroad, I've gotten to know various Americans who, for work or personal reasons, have become immigrants in foreign countries. Now, in general, they're not experiencing serious financial woes. They do exhibit the same tendencies that immigrants here are often criticized for.

They often learn the language poorly, despite years of living in the host country. They tend to primarily socialize with other Americans and patronize

English-speaking establishments, and/or those that sell

American products. Seldom do they adopt the host countries' attitudes, customs, or preferences. These are human tendencies, and I would hope that — the question was asked of me about cultural sensitivity. I think this is one thing that I would point out to other Commissioners if I were selected.

If I am selected, I'll contribute 100 percent to the success of the Commission's work. I'm retired. I do have the time, even though, while I've been retired, I've been volunteering for many different areas of helping people, being in high school career days, and giving speeches, et cetera.

So, whether or not I'm selected, I commend the

Panel on their effort, and thank you for this opportunity to get this far. That's about it.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you very much for taking the time to speak with us today, Ms. Francis.

Our next interview is scheduled to begin at 3:00 o'clock, so we will be in recess, and we'll reconvene at 2:59.

(A recess was held from 2:31 p.m. to 3:12 p.m.)

CHAIR COE: Okay. I'd like to bring this meeting back to order.

Ms. Dickison, are you on the line?

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: I am on the line.

CHAIR COE: Great.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I'd like to welcome Ana Polacek for her interview this afternoon.

Ms. Polacek, can you hear us okay?

MS. POLACEK: I can hear you, yes.

CHAIR COE: Great. I'd like to turn the time over to Mr. Dawson to read the five standard questions.

MR. DAWSON: Good afternoon. I'm going to read you five standard questions that the Panel has asked each Applicant to respond to. Are you ready?

MS. POLACEK: Yes, I am.

MR. DAWSON: Question one: What skills and

25 attributes should all Commissioners possess? What skills

or competencies should the Commission possess collectively?

Of the skills, attributes, and competencies that each

Commissioner should possess, which do you possess? In

summary, how will you contribute to the success of the

Commission?

MS. POLACEK: Okay. So, to address the overall skills, I do believe that, one, long-term project management is very important, and then fundamental skills in math, data analysis, geography, as well as being able to document all your information and how we came to a consensus.

Those are some just very fundamental things, as well as, you know, how do we communicate with each other?

I think being professional, as well as being open to different ideas and flexible are some just fundamentals, and then, you know, we're going to come across times where we will have conflict or disagree.

How can we recognize that problem, work through some creative problem solutions, and actually take action on those, and come to some agreement? And I think, you know, we all have to be able to compromise and be flexible.

Would you like me to talk about how I have experience in those?

MR. DAWSON: Yes. Yes, please.

MS. POLACEK: My husband and I built a home. We

started about 20 years ago, and it took us nine years to build that home, and just, I think, having project management skills just to build that home, design it, go through all the permitting processes, was very important.

Then, obviously, we had a lot of problems along the way, and we came up with solutions to keep moving, and to finish our project and to get it done. We had to communicate with a lot of people to do that, and we had to be effective and assertive, and yet, you know, respectable, you know, to everybody. So I think those are, you know, some of the skills that I have.

As a teacher, you know, we have to do nine months' worth of planning to go through curriculum, and within those nine months, we have to come up with units that might take a month or a few weeks, and then, within that, you have to do daily planning, and set down daily goals, and what are we going to get done for that day when we meet, and just be effective.

As a Committee, I think, you know, the most important thing would be to have somebody who has great project management skills and leadership, because we need to be able to see this big picture and keep our eye on the ball whenever we have some issues.

Does that help address the questions?

MR. DAWSON: It does. And I'm sorry.

Madame Secretary, can you stop the clock.

Maybe we really should take down the muffin. I get very distracting, personally.

MS. POLACEK: Okay. Could you just hold on? I'm going to get my daughter, because she's familiar with her computer.

THE REPORTER: Would you like me to go on a break, Counsel?

MR. DAWSON: Let's go to break.

(A recess was held from 3:17 p.m. to 3:18 p.m.)

MR. DAWSON: Madame Secretary, restart the clock, please.

MS. PELLMAN: Yes, starting the clock. Thank you.

MR. DAWSON: Okay. Question two: Work on the Commission requires members of different political backgrounds to work together. Since the 2010 Commission was selected and formed, the American political conversation has become increasingly polarized, whether in the press, on social media, and even in our own families.

What characteristics do you possess, and what characteristics should your fellow Commissioners possess, that will protect against hyperpartisanship? What will you do to ensure that the work of the Commission is not seen as polarized or hyperpartisan, and avoid perceptions of political bias and conflict?

MS. POLACEK: Yes, I do see hyperpolitical views all over the media, as well as social media. You know, I live in a house where my husband is Republican, and I'm an independent, and we have a lot of conversations, and we've been happily married for 22 years, because we don't attack each other.

You know, I want to learn what his viewpoints are, and I think he appreciates where I'm coming from, and when we go into this as a group, you know, I just wouldn't see people as Republican, Democrat, even though you have to make a group like that, or neither of those parties. I would just come in and say, you know, "We need to get this job done," and it just really shouldn't go into that direction of speaking. We should be professional.

My background, beyond just our own household, you know, I teach social studies. So, when I go into the classroom to teach a lesson, there are going to be conversations all the time, but I go in and I try to be as neutral as possible. I don't want my students to know what my political views are, and I have to do that. What is it that I even present? That would show what kind of politics I have, and, you know, how I lead the conversation.

So I really try to be conscious about being neutral, again, sticking to what are the issues at hand, what are important to making sure that all Californians

have a voice in our elections? That would be sustained. That's the objective of this. Yes. I would just -- I think that addresses that.

I think one last thing is, I would just say that, I hope while you guys are forming this Committee, you are looking for people who -- you know, you're going to have Republicans, you're going to have Democrats, but I'm hoping that you're finding people who are flexible and can see different perspectives, and are not extreme in their viewpoints, because maybe we won't be able to come to an agreement if somebody is, you know, very far to the left or far to the right. Yes.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you. Question three: What is the greatest problem the Commission could encounter, and what actions would you take to avoid or respond to this problem?

MS. POLACEK: I think one of the biggest problems would be if we have lack of leadership and lack of long-term project management and process. I mean, we need to be able to say, "Okay. These are where we want to be at different points along the line," just as you are trying to interview us and you have deadlines.

We need to have deadlines. We need to have people, you know, sticking to those deadlines, and we need a leader to pull this all together to make sure that happens. So I

think that is the biggest issue, if we don't have, you know, somebody who's really guiding the long-term project.

MR. DAWSON: Question four: If you are selected, you will be one of 14 members of the Commission, which is charged with working together to create maps of the new districts. Please describe a situation where you had to work collaboratively with others on a project to achieve a common goal. Tell us the group (sic) of the project, what your role in the group was, and how the group worked through any conflicts that arose. What lessons would you take from this group experience to the Commission, if selected?

MS. POLACEK: Okay. I think, in my application, I mentioned that some of the things I do -- like I said, as a teacher, we do projects, and long-term curriculum, as well as I worked in an auction committee, so making sure that auction ran well. I worked as a database person, as well as soliciting.

The largest and most complex and longest project I worked on was the building of our family home. It did take almost nine years. My husband and I had no idea, you know, what the timeline would be, but we never thought it would be nine years.

First and foremost, we worked as partners. We came along a lot of problems, but we had so many problems that

we worked together to get those done. But we had to collaborate with architects, designers, the county, obviously, the general contractor, civil engineers, all the subcontractors, whether it would be electricians and plumbers, and ultimately we had to deal also with the Coastal Commission, and we were successful.

We were able to build our house, and we've been living in it for 12 years, and enjoying it, but I would love to tell you about four major problems along the way. There were many problems, but let's just start with when we went to buy the property.

As we were doing our research, we found out that the property did not have legal boundaries. So that was the problem, so we came to an agreement with the landowners that if we were able to legalize the property, we would purchase it, so just being creative, because we wanted the property. So we worked with that landowner to come to an agreement, and we bought the property.

Then we spent probably a year and a half designing. We were lucky enough to be able to design our own home. So we spent about a year and a half, and we realized at the end that the architect that we had chosen was not a certified architect. He was a designer. So we actually had to end our contract.

Again, that's a very hard, you know, problem to do,

to actually end a contract, and both parties come out feeling okay with it. And then, after we ended the contract, we actually had to find another architectural firm who would finish the project, and many architects refused, because they didn't want to have, you know, something that was 90 percent done.

Then, I think the biggest -- one of the biggest issues is that, you know, we were very naïve. We just thought, "We want to build this great home," and we got a lot of pushback from environmental groups. It passed through the county, but then, ultimately, it took two years working with the Coastal Commission to come to an agreement. You know, we went and met with -- you know, so how do you do that?

We went and met with every commissioner that would meet with us. We accommodated to some of the things that they felt they wanted from us. We redid reports. But we persevered, you know, and we were successful.

Then, of course, you have the building phase, and we had many problems, as most builders probably have. One of them was the window factory ended up moving and shutting down for three months. So what do you do? I mean, we needed to keep moving. It had taken so long already just to get permits. So we ended up boarding up the entire -- all the windows, so that we could continue doing

electricity and plumbing, and moving forward.

So, again, we just had so many of these big hurdles to overcome, but we thought creatively, and we worked, you know, with all these various people to make it work, you know, and I think, you know, it's the same thing as what we would have with this Commission.

You know, we have a job to get done. We're going to have hurdles. We're going to have to work with different people, but let's, you know, think creatively about how -- and be accommodating -- to get it done, so that all people get a fair chance to, you know, be heard in, you know, California elections.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you. Question five: A considerable amount of the Commission's work will involve meeting with people from all over California who come from very different backgrounds and a wide variety of perspectives.

If you are selected as a Commissioner, what skills and attributes will make you effective at interacting with people from different backgrounds and who have a variety of perspectives?

What experiences have you had that will help you be effective at understanding and appreciating people and communities of different backgrounds and who have a variety of perspectives?

MS. POLACEK: Okay. I'm going to say first I think I'm a very friendly person, just my personality. I try to appreciate people as individuals, even though we are talking about demographic groups, and we talked about Republicans and political groups. I do believe I look at people as individuals first.

Then I also think that my background -- I was born into a multicultural home. My dad came from India. My mother was of Northern European descent, born and raised in the United States, and, just having that upbringing, I just have an understanding of different culture and different religions.

I have lived in Spain, and in Japan for a couple years, just understanding that culture makes up a huge part of people's lives. It's the fabric of their lives, and everyone is different. Also, one of my passions is traveling, and I've been able to travel the world and see how people live, and appreciate different cultures and people.

Again, teaching. I have taught both in public and private schools, where you have a variety of demographic groups, socioeconomic, you know, low demographics, wealthy groups, different cultures.

Then I would also say, finally, that, you know, I have lived in urban areas like Tokyo and Barcelona. I have

lived in suburban areas like Mountain View and Fremont, in the Bay Area, and I've seen them change. I've seen the growing changes going from, you know, farmland to high tech, and my husband works in the high tech industry.

County, where it's a different demographic, where, you know, people are on edge, you know, in farming and in fishing, and bringing in tourism to keep the economy going. So I do appreciate all these different environments, and I think I could bring various perspectives, you know, to the Commission.

One last thing is, one of the credentials I have is in something called CLAD. It's called "Cultural Language Acquisition." And so, when you're teaching students who are coming from a different culture, it's trying to be able to communicate with different people in different ways, and I think I try really hard to communicate so that people understand, whether it's a lesson or that we can just understand each other as people. So I think I could bring a lot to the Commission in that way.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you.

At this point, we will go to Panel questions. Each of the Panel members will have 20 minutes to ask his or her questions, and we'll start with the Chair, Mr. Coe.

CHAIR COE: Thank you, Mr. Dawson.

Good afternoon, Ms. Polacek. Thank you for taking the time to speak with us today. Your application describes many volunteer activities, ranging from beach cleanups to feeding the elderly, the poor, and helping English-language learners improve their communication skills. What motivates you to get involved in these types of charities?

MS. POLACEK: You know, I was just thinking about that today when I was thinking about, you know, if you guys asked me what are some of the things I like to do in my free time, and that is just one of the things I really enjoy, just giving back to our community, and I know that, in my past, I needed help, and it just brings me pleasure to see, whether it's the beach being cleaned, because that's where I live, or I know that there are people who need help. And because I've been working part-time, I've had the time to do that. So that's really one reason.

CHAIR COE: All right. I see in the activity section also that you made a commitment, I think you describe, in your early 40s, to complete a black belt in martial arts by the age of 50. Why did you set that goal for yourself?

MS. POLACEK: Well, you know, it's kind of one of those funny things. You know, when you're young, you just kind of have those bucket lists, and that was one of my

bucket lists, and it just happened that my children had started doing martial arts, and they both got their black belts, you know, and it took me longer, but I was like, you know, "I'm just going to get it done, and do it." And I'm really proud of myself, and I'm very close now to being a second-degree black belt. So I've continued because I just love the exercise.

CHAIR COE: Okay. Thank you.

MS. POLACEK: And I will say it took a long time, perseverance.

CHAIR COE: Okay. Thank you. In your essay on impartiality, you discuss your role as a teacher and marriage as how you had to be impartial, and in your role as a teacher, that you try to pass on the idea of impartiality to young people. Can you give us a specific example, not necessarily from either of those two experiences, but any example, where you had to make a difficult impartial decision that involved setting aside your self-interest?

MS. POLACEK: You know, I really can't think of that. Like I said, I just try to be very conscious of, like I said, what I present in a classroom, but even on social media. I mean, there are so many things out there that trigger emotions, and you just have to stop and just say, "No. Don't respond," even if you have your own

personal feeling, because, you know, is it helpful? Most of the time, it's not helpful. It's just kind of digging back at each other.

So, you know, I take in information from what people put out there on social media, but I really try not to, you know, respond in any kind of slanderous way. I mean, I might say something that has more about data or information that's more of a clarification, but I try really hard not to be demeaning to people, even if I don't agree.

CHAIR COE: In your essays and in some of the answers you've provided already this afternoon, you discuss having met many people of different backgrounds, traveled across the world, that you've lived in, I think you said, Spain and Japan, and I'm wondering what you have learned from the diverse groups of people that you have encountered, and what you've learned about their perspectives and their concerns that would make you an effective representative for them on this Commission.

MS. POLACEK: I would just say, when you go into a culture and you actually live in a culture, not just be a tourist, you just realize that people live differently, the way they get their food. You know, for example, in Spain, I mean, they close down in the middle of the day, or, in Japan, just shopping, you have to shop little by little,

and things are very expensive.

So it's just the daily life, understanding that people live differently. So, for example, people who live in an urban city, they live differently than somebody who lives in a suburb or lives in an urban area, and all of these different microcosms of living, they need to be appreciated, and those people have to have a voice.

So, like I said, you know, we have a lot of small farmers in our area. Do they have a voice? The tech industry, even though many high tech companies do well, there are a lot of companies that fail. I mean, how do we support all kinds of businesses, so that people can thrive and we can have jobs for people? So I just would say that it's important to really see that, you know, people live differently, and I think that was my point in just regards to traveling.

CHAIR COE: You mentioned all of those different groups that you were talking about needing to have a voice. How can the Commission ensure that people have a voice?

MS. POLACEK: Yes. Well, I did notice that when -- there is a criteria that is set in the California Constitution, you know. One of it is by population, and the other one of them is also by community. So I think it is really important to, you know, reach out to people. I think that's the whole idea of soliciting to these

different communities to get their input when we do public hearings.

We can't just go into a community and say, "Okay. Come," but you really, really have to work hard to get people to come and to submit comment. I think that's just really important, and so I think, you know, for example, so making sure that each of those different communities can be heard, and soliciting for their information.

CHAIR COE: So, sticking with the topic of communities, one of the Commission's most important tasks is going to be identifying communities of interest throughout the state, and so there's a couple of questions I want to ask about that, is, some of those communities are easy to find. There are others that are harder to identify and locate, for one reason or another.

How would you have the Commission go about trying to locate communities of interest, particularly with the effort of not overlooking some communities that may be less obvious or harder to locate?

MS. POLACEK: Yes. Actually, I thought about that today. You know, you do have city councils that know their population pretty well, but, even within other areas, like where I live -- I live in a pretty rural area -- we have a -- it's called the Pescadero Community Advisory

Committee. So they're just an advisory committee, but they

are for a local town and a local area, and just north of us, in Half Moon Bay, they also have kind of a mid-coast community advisory, and I think reaching out to these different advisory committees in smaller areas, as well as city councils, to really understand what their needs are.

So, for example, I spent a lot of time in Half Moon Bay, and I know that, for them, tourism is a really important aspect of their economy, but, also, locals may have their own personal needs, so maybe reaching out to these city councils and advisory committees to get input on what people of their community would like to address.

CHAIR COE: So, a moment ago, you mentioned needing to really work hard to get people to come to the outreach meetings or to provide input to the Commission. There are some communities that are less engaged, and may feel uncomfortable in reaching out or speaking in an environment such as the Commission's meetings. For one reason or another, they may not feel comfortable participating in efforts like this.

How would you go about making those communities feel comfortable, feel safe, and willing to provide their perspectives to help inform the Commission in its work?

MS. POLACEK: Again, I would really directly reach out to these smaller committees to have them canvass for ideas. I realize there are a lot of people who are

disengaged, as well as they just, I don't know, maybe have some reason they don't want to come forward, but I think having these smaller committees can -- at least, they could go out and get information, and I think that is important, to really engage them to go out and do that outreach. Does that kind of answer your question?

CHAIR COE: Yes, it does. Thank you. I want to switch gears a little bit to your analytical skills essay, and in that essay, you say that you have strong skills in researching, reading, and analyzing documents and data using a variety of software applications that are always changing. What kind of data have you worked with?

MS. POLACEK: Well, I would just say, as a social studies teacher, you know, we read a variety of documents, as well as textbooks, and just looking at graphs and maps, and trying to understand, you know, populations at different times, you know, where in U.S. history, you know, the movement of immigration, the movement of industry, and just looking at graphs. Those are just kind of fundamental skills that we have in social studies.

Obviously, in math, in teaching math, we teach graphing. We teach basic math, which is percentages and proportion, and just being able to understand a graph, and how to make graphs. That's one component, and I would say, in general, my own just reading, reading a newspaper or

reading up on information that I want to find out about. I do that on a personal level.

CHAIR COE: Have you worked with any raw data, large data sets like the census information, in any of these capacities?

MS. POLACEK: I have not. The only other experience that I had was, I did work for an auction committee, where I was the person in charge of their database. So it was more about sorting, I would say, products that we were getting, how expensive things were, smaller products, things like that. I wouldn't call it, exactly, data analysis, though.

CHAIR COE: And you mentioned using a variety of software applications. Which software applications have you used in your work with data?

MS. POLACEK: I guess I was talking specifically about not so much towards data applications as in just computer applications in general. So, you know, working in a school, we constantly have new gradebook programs, or ways -- you know, Google Classroom, for example, or even as we're using Zoom now. I mean, just in the last few weeks is the first time I've had the opportunity to use it, but I have done in the past.

There are people who just are like, "It's just too much. I don't even want to use that platform," when I

honestly believe, you know, you just need to embrace it, and there are so many great tools out there now, and I have found and asked colleagues who really don't want to engage and use these platforms, and I just feel like, you know, I'm willing to learn whatever new ones that I need to learn.

CHAIR COE: So, if you were to be appointed to the Commission, which aspects of that role do you think that you would enjoy the most, and, conversely, which aspects of that role do you think might perhaps struggle with a little bit?

MS. POLACEK: Well, I would love to work with project management, just kind of laying out, you know, what the project is, what are the steps we need to take, and then maybe helping, you know, everyone figure out what role that they're in, and what best would suit them. And, I mean, I could also solicit, you know, like we talked about earlier, into those smaller communities, going out and trying to do outreach to these different city councils or smaller community zones.

CHAIR COE: And which aspects of the role do you think you might struggle with a little bit?

MS. POLACEK: You know, I don't know what all the roles are, exactly, but I think maybe, you know -- I just don't know what all the roles are, exactly.

CHAIR COE: Okay. Thank you. I don't have any other further questions.

Ms. Dickison, the time is yours.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you, Mr. Coe.

Welcome, Ms. Polacek. Did I say it correctly?

MS. POLACEK: Polacek.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Polacek. Okay. So, in looking at your application, it appears that you were a stay-at-home parent from 2001 to 2006. Is that accurate?

MS. POLACEK: That is correct, yes.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Okay.

MS. POLACEK: During that time was when we were building our home.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Okay. Do you think being a stay-at-home parent gave you a different perspective to the Commission?

MS. POLACEK: You know, I think every family is different in regards to whether both parents need to work, or if one parent just wants to stay home because they want that special relationship with their children. I think it gave me the opportunity, for example, to help build our home.

You know, my husband was working full-time, and I had a major role in, you know, managing the permitting, the building permits, as well as being on site while the house

was being built, and having two little kids, you know, dragging them along with me.

So yes, I think, I mean, it gave me an opportunity to do other things, because, you know, a stay-at-home mom, or a parent in general, they're a multitasker. You know, so, even though I was doing what you would say a traditional parent would be doing, which is, you know, doing food and laundry and keeping the house up, I also had that sideline of building our family home going as well.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: I also see that you're a hobby farmer, right?

MS. POLACEK: That's correct, yes.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Okay. How did you get started in that?

MS. POLACEK: Well, when we decided to build our home, we had this kind of magical dream, and that was build a small, little modern home, you know, a little bit out in the country but not too far out, because, you know, my husband still worked in Silicon Valley, and we thought it would be just great to be able to have an orchard and a small -- you know, I would say a small garden farm, not that we were going to go and be going to markets and selling our produce, but, you know, more to give it to family and friends, and just the lifestyle we wanted to live, and it has been a great lifestyle. There's just

nothing like being able to, you know, make your own, you know, blackberry pie from your own ingredients, or, you know, eat your own artichokes. It feels good.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Okay. Is that where you have a perspective on the agriculture?

MS. POLACEK: I would say, well, yes, in the sense that you realize, "Wow. It is very hard to be an organic farmer." I mean, you have pests, and wind, and mold, and not enough water, and, you know, just as a personal experience, yes, but I also see, because I live in this area, and many of the people around us are small farmers who are growing for maybe farmers' markets, that they struggle. They really struggle to grow things, as well as to make a profit.

So, you know, I appreciate that, and I actually appreciate large farms, too, because they're feeding America. People can put down, you know, these large farms for using pesticides, which we do have around us, also, but they are producing, and they're producing a lot of food for people.

So I appreciate farmers in general, but I also see the other side. We live in a very rural environment, with the coast and marshes, and water is precious. So you have to say, "Well, it has to be a balance between keeping our rivers going, you know, and our lakes, but as well as

feeding people." So yes, it's brought a huge perspective in that sense.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Okay. With the different perspectives that you have, what groups of people would you be able to reach in the various communities throughout California?

MS. POLACEK: Well, one, I'm an intermediate
Spanish speaker. I've taught English as a second language,
so I could reach out to people in the Hispanic community.
As I said, just teaching, I've also worked with, you know,
people from the Polynesian group, just a variety of people,
different people of different socioeconomic backgrounds,
and I just feel like I'm open to be able to speak and
communicate, and understand what their needs are, and I
want to hear what their needs are, not that I know what
they need, but "What are your needs?," you know, and just
being open to that. Yes.

PANEL MEMBER DICKISON: So, in your diversity essay, you started out by saying you try not to see people regarding their economic status, race, gender, age, religion, et cetera, and treat them with respect, and you talk about often the group needs and opinions are different.

Thinking about that, in what way could their needs and opinions differ, and how could that influence their

preference for representation?

MS. POLACEK: Yes. I think you hit the nail on the head. I think, if we find groups that are living in an area that is similar, and yet they have different ideas and want their representation, you know, how do we draw the line so that everyone is heard, and their ideas are heard, and they're not split up?

I think we're going to have to, one, go through the criteria, the very clear criteria from the California Constitution, but then, I think, as a Commission, we also need to be able to come to a consensus of, you know, maybe, what takes preference. We have to come to some agreement if something is conflicting, and I don't have all the answers, but I do think that we could sit down as a committee, as a Commission, and try and find some answers so that people can have their voices heard. Does that answer your question?

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: It does. It does. So something else you talked about was being conscious of possibly unrepresented stakeholders that should be part of the process. How could the Commission identify those that are missing from the room?

MS. POLACEK: Yes. I just spoke with one of the other persons who answered it -- or asked a similar question -- and I talked about going to kind of some of the

local advisory committees or the city councils, more than the county, because, even in my own county, I mean, we have such different groups of people. It really has to go to the smaller groups.

One of the things when I was actually writing my application that I was thinking about is that one time, when I was driving through California -- I believe it was California, in Northern California -- there was an area where it looked like maybe Native Americans were living, and it was incredibly impoverished. So I was thinking, "Wow. You know, what's going on with this group? Are their voices being heard?"

So, I mean, I think it's just really important that we really look at all different parts of California, and different areas where there may -- where voices aren't -- you know, like the person before had mentioned that they're not going to speak up for themselves.

Somebody has to maybe go to them and say, "Hey. What is your opinion? What are your needs? You know, are you getting your needs addressed?" So I think it's really about really doing some deep outreach to these small communities.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you. Given your various backgrounds, what do you think your role on the Commission should be?

MS. POLACEK: I would say, one, I would like to help with long-term project management, making sure that we are moving along, as well as I do feel like I could be a moderate voice. You know, I am a -- I vote as an independent. I don't go down party lines. I really want to look at issues, not, you know, partisanship.

I think I can make sure to -- I can't change other people's behavior, but I can redirect conversation so that we stay, you know, with the goal in mind, "What is the goal? You know, fair elections, fair representation for all people," so just redirecting it back to something that might be getting into a political conversation, which I just don't see why there should be that in the first place, because we all want everyone to well here in California.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you. All right. So the way it's set up, the first eight Commissioners are selected randomly, and then they are tasked with selecting the next six. What would you be looking for in those final six if you were one of the first eight Commissioners?

MS. POLACEK: I would be looking for the skills, the main skills, that all Commissioners need, first thing, and that would be, you know, project management, your basic math, data analysis, geographic skills, being able to document your findings and your decision making, making sure someone is a communicator, someone who can communicate

respectfully and professionally, someone who has a positive attitude and really wants to get the job done, and someone who's flexible and able to compromise.

Then, of course, I mean, you have to then -- once you have these skills, I think you have to make sure you have the five Democrats, five Republicans, and the four non, but that would be secondary. I mean, obviously, you have to make a decision by that, but that would not be the first thing I would be looking at.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you. What would you ultimately like to see the Commission accomplish?

MS. POLACEK: You know, I think it's actually sad to see the history of the Commission, not this Commission, but, rather, that the legislature was not able to put politics aside so that people would have, you know, their voices heard, and so I would really like to see that the Commission just keeps in mind the goal, and that is to be inclusive, and to get as many people's voices heard as possible through representation, and that means drawing lines, you know, that are inclusive of various groups and communities.

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: Thank you so much.

23 Mr. Coe, I don't have any further questions at this 24 point.

CHAIR COE: Thank you, Ms. Dickison.

Mr. Belnap.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: Thank you, Ms. Polacek. Good afternoon.

MS. POLACEK: Hi.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: So thank you for continuing to work through the technical difficulties we had earlier, and for your continued interest in serving on the Commission.

Going last here, one by one, all my prepared questions have been taken and answered by you. One follow-up question. Something I didn't quite understand is the auction committee that you referred to that you've done work for. What organization was the auction committee for?

MS. POLACEK: It was just for the school that my children went to. Like many schools, they have an auction, and what they do is, they have a party. That's one element, and that's not the element that I really worked on.

The element I worked on was solicitations, and what that means is going out to the community to get gift certificates, or a family would donate a vacation home, and then inputting all of the information into a database, and then we would, from there, like, make up kind of auction paperwork that goes with that, and we'd also have an online auction. So does that answer your question?

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: Yes, I understand now. So, reading your application, and also hearing you today, you seem like a very driven, goal-oriented individual. Where do you think that comes from?

MS. POLACEK: You know, I think just my personality is -- I don't actually know. I have to say, you know, I have a degree in psychology and a degree in sociology, and I'm a social studies teacher. I've always just had an interest in people, and I just have an interest in government, and government working for the people.

I really don't know where that came from. Maybe it's just an inherent quality, but I definitely am intrigued by people, yes, and culture, and, like I said, one of my passions is traveling and seeing the world, and enjoying all the different nuances of people.

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: All right. Thank you. I know my time has been short, but I don't have any further questions.

CHAIR COE: Okay. Mr. Dawson.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Ms. Polacek, I'm sorry. I'm going to have to follow up on a couple things, so forgive me if I jump around a little bit. In your response to a question from Mr. Coe, and I think also from Ms. Dickison, you mentioned that you saw yourself as enjoying the role of project

management. Do you have any professional experience in project management?

MS. POLACEK: I don't have any professional experience, only in that, as a teacher, you know, I have to lay out nine months' worth of curriculum, and I have to make sure that I make it through that curriculum so that, the next year, the next teacher knows what they need to teach, and they don't have to do any of my work.

Of course, there's all types of things that get in the way, including what's happening right now, right, stopping school, and even that, or not being in a physical school. So that is my professional project management, and, like I said, I would say the other big one was just building our home.

I would also just like to add one thing about -- one of the biggest things I learned from the building of our home was that I think I'm usually a person who's friendly and gets along with people, but I did learn that I don't like conflict.

But I had to assert myself many times during that project, and "assert" doesn't necessarily mean being mean or nasty, but it does mean dealing with the problem. So, you know, if something wasn't going right, I had to go and say, "Hey. Why isn't this getting done? Here's the problem. What's happening? Why isn't it getting done?"

So I would say that was one of the biggest takeaways for me, that, you know, even though you need to communicate respectfully, you also have to, you know, keep your project moving, and make sure that you are putting your buyer first, because something else is going to get in the way, but, you know, you have to keep your project moving.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you. I see that you grew up in the Bay Area, but you lived abroad as an adult. Do you think that gives you a particular perspective that might be informative to your work on the Commission, if selected?

MS. POLACEK: Well, one, I love California. I appreciate it. We have an incredible landscape, diverse landscape geographically, but also diversity in our population, as well as our lifestyles. I mean, you have the whole eastern half, which is very agricultural, or you have, you know, Yosemite and Lake Tahoe, and then you have the Bay Area, Los Angeles, San Diego, all types of areas that are actually quite different. So I just would say I really appreciate the diversity within California.

Then, living abroad, I do realize that, again, you just have to appreciate each culture that you go to, and try to, you know, represent the best of the United States, as well as, you know, see what we can offer them, and see what they can offer us.

166

1 So it was not always easy living abroad. I mean, 2 people talk about "What is culture shock?" Culture shock 3 is like "Wow. This is different. I don't do this, 4 normally." But you realize, "Okay. They do it 5 differently," and you learn to adapt. So, like I said, I 6 think it just opens your eyes when you go into a place, 7 especially when it's very different, and you can 8 appreciate, you know, the diversity of each location. 9 MR. DAWSON: Thank you. So, along those lines, it

seems from your application, if I'm following it correctly, that you went to Spain after graduating from UCSB?

MS. POLACEK: That's correct, yes.

MR. DAWSON: And did you go there to teach English? Was that the main reason you went to Spain?

MS. POLACEK: Yes. Yes, I did. Yes.

MR. DAWSON: Did you speak Spanish before you arrived in Barcelona?

MS. POLACEK: I had beginning, moderate Spanish-speaking skill, yes.

MR. DAWSON: Okay.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. POLACEK: I would say, in Barcelona, they don't really speak Spanish. They speak a language called -- it's called Catalan. So I didn't get a chance to really learn how to speak Spanish until I moved to a more inland city of Zaragoza, where they speak traditional Spanish.

MR. DAWSON: So, after your experience teaching English in Spain, is that what brought you back to get your credential?

MS. POLACEK: Absolutely. I just realized -- you know, I really enjoyed my time there. It's a beautiful country, such great people and great food, and just fun culture, especially when you're in your 20s, but it wasn't home. It was not home, and I'm like, "I could be teaching English to people who are immigrants coming into our country, or migrant workers who really need to be able to assimilate, you know, into our culture." So, yes, that's why. I just also found I really love teaching.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you. In your response to, I think it was, essay two, which is, let's see, about impartiality, you wrote:

"I would add that sometimes there are stakeholders that are not represented in initial discussions, and that their perspectives and interests are not known."

Who are these stakeholders that you think are likely not to be represented in the Commission's process?

MS. POLACEK: Well, as I think I mentioned earlier,

when I was traveling and I saw this location that I thought
was maybe Native American, or where Native Americans were

living, I just thought, "Wow. Why is this -- what's going on here?," you know, and I thought, "Maybe that's a group that they're not -- maybe they just don't know how, or the leadership in that community is just not reaching out enough," but I thought, you know, "Maybe that's a group that needs to be reached out to," not for them to reach us, but that we need to go to, because, clearly, they need some resources.

That's just what went through my head, and so I was thinking, "Okay. If I was on this Commission, are there other groups like that?" I don't know, but I think it's worth, you know, maybe scouring California and looking at "What are some groups that really need some help?"

MR. DAWSON: And how would you do that?

MS. POLACEK: I don't have a full plan in place. That's for sure. But my initial thought, as what I was thinking about today, was going to some of the smaller advisory committees.

You know, you could go to the county first, and ask the county to say -- ask them, "What are some of the smaller advisory committees that you have in your county, or what are" -- you know, just going to the city councils in that county, to be able to reach out, and to ask them to reach out to their communities for information.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you. I see that you are

registered as "No party preference."

MS. POLACEK: That's true.

MR. DAWSON: As you know, the Commission will be comprised of five Democrats, five Republicans, and four unaligned. Do you think there's a particular role that the unaligned Commissioners will play?

MS. POLACEK: Well, first I would say I understand why you're taking the largest party and the second-largest party, so that it looks like everybody is having a voice, and it's not imbalanced politically, but I would also hope that your Panel who are interviewing people are looking for people who are flexible, that are not going to be, you know, extreme in either party, because we do have to -- we have one year, right, to finish this and get things done, and I think it would be not beneficial to have one person, or any people, that really couldn't agree with the majority of the Commission.

The people who are in the non-larger-party group, I'm hoping that, well, one, maybe they'll bring different perspectives. Maybe they can see many perspectives -- I think I am one of those kind of people -- and maybe be a more moderate person. I think that's the role, is to maybe, you know, be able to moderate both sides.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you. I have no further questions.

Madame Secretary, could we have a time check, please.

MS. PELLMAN: Yes. We have 30 minutes and 30 seconds remaining.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you.

Are there any follow-ups from any of the Panel members?

CHAIR COE: Ms. Dickison, do you have any follow-up questions?

VICE CHAIR DICKISON: I do not.

11 CHAIR COE: Mr. Belnap?

PANEL MEMBER BELNAP: I do not.

CHAIR COE: I have no follow-up questions, Counsel.

MR. DAWSON: Thank you.

Ms. Polacek, once again, thank you for working with us through the technical issues. At this point, I would like to offer you the opportunity to give a closing statement to the Panel, if you wish.

MS. POLACEK: Okay. Yes. You know, actually, the first time that I saw that this was open for an application was on social media in my local area. I don't know if it was on the Nextdoor app, or just through my local, you know, social media, one of the groups, but I just was like -- I just jumped on it, because I'm like, "Wow. I have some time now." My kids are becoming very

independent. They don't need me as much, and I have an interest.

I have an interest in people. I think I'm a fair person. I think I can do long-term project managing, as well as actually get something done. I think I can communicate well with people, just on an interpersonal level, as well as, as we talked about, reaching out to other groups, whether it be socioeconomically, culturally, politically, or in a different geographic landscape, as well as, you know, just understanding even the economics of what people need in different areas.

I think I have the education and the skills to do the job, whether it be math or geography or data analysis. I feel like I'm a positive person, and a person who wants to get things done.

Anyway, I just have a lot of interest in it, and if I'm the right person, I'm the right person. If I'm not, I'm not, and it's been a great opportunity just to be able to interview with you, and I really appreciate it, and I also do appreciate the open transparency of the entire process, and what will go forward and through the Commission in the future. So thank you.

CHAIR COE: Thank you, Ms. Polacek, for taking the time to interview with us today.

As this is our last interview today, and due to the

state holiday tomorrow, we will be in recess until -- the next interview is at 9:00 a.m. on Wednesday, April 1st. So we'll be in recess until 8:59 on Wednesday, April 1st.

(Thereupon, the Applicant Review Panel meeting was recessed at 4:16 p.m.)

- '

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were reported by me, a certified electronic court reporter and a disinterested

person, and was under my supervision thereafter

I do hereby certify that the testimony in

transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 29th day of April, 2020.

PETER PETTY CER**D-493 Notary Public

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were reported by me, a certified electronic court reporter and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 29th day of April, 2020.

MARTHA L. NELSON, CERT**367

Martha L. Nelson