

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Applicant Review Panel](#)
Subject: FW: Article XXI of California's Constitution SEC. 2. (d)
Date: Thursday, April 30, 2020 7:18:07 PM

From: Eric S Fisher [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2020 10:24 AM
To: 'applicantreviewpanel@auditor.ca.gov'
Subject: Article XXI of California's Constitution SEC. 2. (d)

Did you ask for and receive commitments from your interviewees to conform to the criteria for establishing districts set out in Article XXI of California's Constitution SEC. 2. (d) ? [If not, why not?]

I note that the previous Commission failed to conform in several instances apparently without offering explanations.

For examples

SEC. 2 (d)(4) regarding the city of Torrance (and possibly others), and in the state Senate districts, in northern CA, by stretching them from the Oregon border to Sacramento, combining rural and urban areas.

SEC. 2(d)(4) in Bakersfield, the Assembly districts look like a spiral. And this also resulted in rural and urban areas.

A number of other failures can be found.

It is essential that the Commission follow the law and it incumbent on you to try to ensure that they will.